PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING OF FEBRUARY 19, 2021

COMMISSIONER AUSTIN F. CULLEN

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS		
Witness	Description	Page
	Proceedings commenced at 9:30 a.m.	1
Henry Yu (for the commission)	Examination by Mr. Martland	2
、	Proceedings adjourned at 10:58 a.m.	74
	Proceedings reconvened at 11:12 a.m.	74
Henry Yu	Examination by Mr. Martland (continuing)	74
(for the commission)	Examination by Mr. Usher	102
	Examination by Ms. Magonet	113
	Proceedings adjourned at 12:28 p.m.	131

	INDEX OF EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION	
Letter	Description	Page

No exhibits for identification marked.

INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
No.	Description	Page
640	Curriculum vitae of Henry Yu (redacted)	2
641	Then and Now Trans-Pacific Ethnic Chinese Migrants in Historical Context - Henry Yu - January 2006	4
642	Global migrants and the new Pacific Canada - International - Journal - Henry Yu - Autumn 2009	17
643	Review Essay - Is Vancouver the Future or the Past - Henry Yu - 2006	24

644	So you want to get your money out of China - Cut out and keep edition - FT Alphaville, by David Keohane - March 3, 2016	59
645	China Tightens Controls on Overseas Use of Its Currency - <i>The New</i> <i>York Times</i> - by Keith Bradsher - Nov 29, 2016	61
646	Chinese Foreign Property Investment At 4-Year Low Amid Clampdown - <i>Financial Times</i> , by Gabriel Wildau - November 22, 2017	62

Henry Yu (for the commission)

1 February 19, 2021 2 (Via Videoconference) 3 (PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 9:30 A.M.) THE REGISTRAR: Good morning. The hearing is now 4 resumed. Mr. Commissioner. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Madam Registrar. 6 Yes, Mr. Martland. 7 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, thank you. We 8 9 have today -- we've been hearing of course these last few days evidence that relates to housing 10 11 prices in British Columbia and in particular in 12 the Lower Mainland. Today we have some 13 important evidence from Professor Henry Yu who 14 will be addressing how some of the discourse 15 relating to foreign investment, immigration and 16 housing prices can skew into patterns of 17 stereotypical or racist thinking. 18 Madam Registrar, Professor Yu will be 19 affirmed, please. 20 HENRY YU, a witness for 21 the commission, 22 affirmed. 23 THE REGISTRAR: Please state your full name and spell 24 your first name and last name for the record. 25 THE WITNESS: Henry S.N. Y-u, Henry Yu. And I'm

1	associate professor of history at the University
2	of British Columbia.
3	MR. MARTLAND: Madam Registrar, if we could please
4	have the witness's CV displayed.
5	EXAMINATION BY MR. MARTLAND:
6	Q And, professor, you'll see the contact
7	information has been removed but you'll
8	recognize that as being your curriculum vitae;
9	is that right?
10	A Yes, that is my CV.
11	MR. MARTLAND: All right. And, Mr. Commissioner,
12	I'll asking that please be marked as next
13	exhibit.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Very well. That will be 640.
15	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 640.
16	EXHIBIT 640: Curriculum vitae of Henry Yu
17	(redacted)
18	MR. MARTLAND:
19	Q And as we see on the display that, sir, you have
20	a PhD and MA in history from Princeton, and
21	secondly a BA in honours history from UBC?
22	A Yes, that's correct.
23	Q Your position is that you're an associate
24	professor in the department of history at UBC.
25	And in addition that, as we see in the academic

1 list on display there and onwards, you've held a 2 series of positions including at Princeton, UCLA 3 and Yale and also have received a number of 4 awards for your work. 5 That's correct, yes. А You've written extensively on the topic of 6 Ο 7 immigration and the relationship between 8 European settler communities in North America 9 and Asian populations in those communities. 10 Indeed you've written two books and dozens of 11 articles on those very topics. Yes, it's my area of speciality is trans-Pacific 12 А 13 migration and settlements in the Americas and in 14 Australia and New Zealand. 15 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. Madam Registrar, if we can 16 take that document down but if you could please 17 find -- I think it will be number 9 on a list of 18 documents -- a paper that has the title of "Then 19 and Now." 20 And, professor, what I'm proposing to do is to Q 21 start by looking at three different -- it's a 22 highly selective look at some of your academic 23 work that relates to some of what we are looking 24 at. Three of your different papers. And I 25 won't be going through them in any great detail,

1	but I will ask you a few questions and then
2	we'll move into evidence and a discussion around
3	a number of topics.
4	And so I think you'll see there a paper
5	which comes from a paper, maybe a chapter,
6	from a 2006 book called The World of
7	Transnational Asian Americans and the title is
8	"Then and Now: Trans-Pacific Ethnic Chinese
9	Migrants in Historical Context." You recognize
10	that as being what I've just described?
11	A Yes, it is.
12	MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, if the paper could
13	please be marked as exhibit 641.
14	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, very well.
15	THE REGISTRAR:
16	EXHIBIT 641: Then and Now: Trans-Pacific
17	Ethnic Chinese Migrants in Historical Context -
18	Henry Yu - January 2006
19	MR. MARTLAND:
20	Q And as I say, I won't spend a great deal of
21	detail but to give us a sense of this. As you
22	see from the display there you start by writing:
23	"How are we to conceive of contemporary
24	Pacific migrations, in the light of those
25	that occurred a century ago, and

1 conversely, how are we to conceive of 2 historical migrations in the light of 3 those we see now?" 4 The specific example that you go on to the 5 describe is: "... the flow of migrants from the 6 southeastern coast of what is now the 7 8 People's Republic of China that moved 9 outwards to, and often back, from the Pacific basin over last three centuries." 10 11 And to carry on my reading from your paper, if 12 we could flip to the second page of the paper. 13 And about the middle of the page the 14 paragraph beginning "this guick sketch." You 15 set out there at the second line you say: 16 "Two main questions for the essay: 1) 17 what is the role of studying trans-Pacific 18 Chinese migration in understanding both 19 contemporary and historical periods of 20 global migration, and 2) is there 21 something so fundamentally new about 22 recent migrations as to warrant claims 23 that 'transnational' migration networks 24 are a recent development?" 25 So with my having I guess set it up by reading

those fairly introductory portions, if you could
 give us a quick precis of what you address in
 the course of this paper, please.

4 А I think the motivation for the paper, one was it 5 was delivered as a talk in Tokyo as well as numerous other universities, the University of 6 7 Tokyo and other places in Australia, and so written up after a series of talks that were 8 9 actually prompted at the time by a lot of, you 10 know, what seemed like recent Chinese migrations 11 to places like Australia, Canada, United States 12 and Japan. That's why in fact one of the places 13 was the University of Tokyo. And they were 14 trying to understand was this novel, was this --15 you know, there's a lot of talk about this being 16 very new and transnational, that term was used a lot. 17

18 And I think the novelty of it, what, as a 19 historian -- and, you know, I'm not -- I wasn't 20 alone. There's many historians who are saying 21 this is not novel, the kinds of migrations that 22 were occurring were actually cut off, you know, 23 you could say a hundred years ago by a number of 24 exclusionary immigration policies that went across from New Zealand, Australia, Canada, 25

United States in the period between about 1880
 and the 1920s.

3 And so Canada cut off Chinese migration in 4 1923 formerly what was euphemistically called 5 the Chinese Immigration Act, which was actually better known historically as the Chinese 6 7 Exclusion Act since on July 1st, Canada Day, 8 Dominion Day at the time, Chinese were formally legally excluded. And that is the first time in 9 Canadian history that there was a formal 10 11 exclusion of a group, a national group or a 12 racial group in that sense.

13 But that had followed actually the head tax 14 in 1885. Obviously from 1885 to 1923. The head 15 tax was designed to slow down or stop or at 16 least penalize financially Chinese who were 17 migrating to Canada and raising revenue at the 18 same time before income taxes. But that was 19 within the context of New Zealand having a poll 20 tax, which was actually the model for the head 21 tax in Canada. Australia had immigration 22 exclusion as well as the equivalent of poll 23 taxes depending on which Australian colony. The 24 United States had the Chinese Exclusion Act --25 again, they were forthright; they just called it

1 the Chinese Exclusion Act -- in 1882. 2 And so this was part of the broader Pacific 3 basin, you could say, a number of what were settlements and colonial settlements that were 4 5 really organized politically around white supremacy. And I say that just matter of 6 factually. I mean, I know some people get upset 7 8 when you use the term "white supremacy" and it 9 sounds like, are you saying that these people were Nazis? Well, in that period white 10 11 supremacy was one of the ways in which a lot of 12 these new nations, Canada in 1867, were 13 organizing and organizing some people, European 14 migrants, to basically create a story that their 15 arrival was morally right and taking away 16 indigenous land unilaterally and declaring it 17 Crown land was normal and okay.

18 That happened in Australia. It happened 19 here in Canada. In the United States there was 20 a similar form of basically political white 21 supremacy up and down the west coast in places 22 like California, Oregon, Washington state. So 23 British Columbia was not alone in what was 24 happening. It was happening all around the Pacific. 25

6

7

8

9

10

1 Also Chinese migrants were coming from 2 Guangdong province in southeastern China and 3 they were going all around the Pacific as well 4 as southeast Asia. So it was -- the paper, just in essence, to 5

kind of end the synopsis here, was an attempt to kind of talk about what was going on now, and now meant -- really for the Canadian context from 1968 onwards and that now would have been different for Australia since they ended the 11 white Australia immigration policy a little 12 later than Canada's 1968 immigration reforms.

13 So the timing of now depends on which 14 nation, but basically in the 1970s or so onwards 15 you've seen, you could say, a resumption of 16 non-white trans-Pacific migration all across and around the Pacific and that is because of the 17 18 longer history of how white supremacy was the 19 dominant form of political organizing, you could 20 say, in many of these countries.

21 And then by the 1940s and 50s -- and here's 22 where Nazis come into play -- Nazis basically 23 made white supremacy look bad during the 24 period -- during the Second World War as well as 25 after, there was a shift in the politics of

1 white supremacy where by 1948, 1950, into the 2 1950s, you had things like the civil rights 3 movement in the United States but you also had a 4 quiet dismantling legally of white supremacy in 5 Canada. If you think about the first provincial legislation to outlaw -- to make illegal housing 6 discrimination that was in the 1960s based on 7 8 race. It was the first also laws in 19 --9 around the same time to outlaw job segregation 10 or job discrimination based on race.

11 And so we dismantled legal white supremacy 12 over the periods from the 1940s through the 13 1960s. And so it's an attempt to kind of bring 14 these together to -- perhaps as a historical 15 reminder that actually those kind of migrations 16 that were quite common in the late 19th century 17 through the early 20th century, they were 18 actually cut off. And it was only as, again as 19 a shorthand, Nazi's made white supremacy look 20 bad. And that's why when you use the term right 21 now "white supremacy" most people are horrified 22 and rightly feel repugnance towards that term, 23 but again as a form of political organizing it 24 was quite common. Not universal. It was 25 political. Was there were some people who were

1 pushing for it and others who actually thought 2 it was a terrible idea. 3 0 So I take you to be using that term, when you 4 describe these governments in the late 1800 5 early 1900s in the US and a number of commonwealth or former UK British colonies, I 6 7 suppose, that really have as an organizing 8 principle essentially white governments. And as 9 you said, the Americans were more abrupt or 10 direct in their titling of the act, but it is 11 exclusion of a group of people based on 12 ethnicity.

13 Yes. And I think the key is to not understand А 14 it only as moral. And I just mentioned, you 15 know -- I said when you say Nazis and white 16 supremacy there's a reason why we feel moral 17 repugnance because, you know, there was a world 18 war fought, there was horrific things done in 19 the name of white supremacy, you know, Shoah, or 20 the holocaust and many things that were actually 21 parts of successful political movements.

And I want to emphasize that it's not like everybody was racist. People will say oh yeah, everybody was racist back then. That's not true. Not everyone was racist. If you were a

1 victim or a target of white supremacy, you 2 weren't going along with this, you were actually 3 often actually struggling and resisting and, you 4 know, quite overtly. But what it was was it was 5 a way of organizing and it captured state governments. And so when we say oh, these 6 7 governments were all racist, it's like -- we 8 have to be careful because often it was political elections in democracies. And these 9 were all democracies, you could say, Australia, 10 11 New Zealand, Canada, United States. State power 12 was captured by the politics in the white 13 supremacy.

14 And that means that you could create what 15 seemed like demographic majorities. And one of 16 the ways you created a demographic majority that could capture a government is by not allowing 17 18 non-whites to vote. You might think of it this 19 way now as everything that was actually policies 20 that were engineered in places like 21 British Columbia, the American south, Australia, 22 disenfranchisement of non-whites, for instance, 23 housing segregation, land was only available to some people, not to others. Jobs -- only some 24 25 jobs for others. Everything that you associate

1 with South African apartheid. 2 So the anti-apartheid movement that we all 3 perhaps do remember in our lifetime, depending 4 on how old you are, in the 1980s and 90s, 5 everything that we were saying was wrong, those were all pioneered -- and I use that term very 6 specifically -- they were all pioneered in 7 8 places like British Columbia and the American 9 south. 10 When South African architects of apartheid, 11 legal apartheid before 1948 were looking around 12 for best practices around the world, one of the 13 places they explicitly visited was 14 British Columbia. What they liked here was the 15 reserve system of clearing people, indigenous 16 peoples off of the best real estate, let's call 17 it, into reserves and how successful 18 British Columbia state government, you know, had 19 been in that process working with the federal 20 government. How disenfranchisement of 21 non-whites -- again 1871, as soon as 22 British Columbia as a colony joins the dominion, 23 one of the first acts of new provincial 24 legislature is to disenfranchise non-whites, is 25 to pass legislation saying Chinese who could

vote now could not. So Chinese actually lost
 the vote.

3 And so if you think of the tools of white 4 supremacy that were pioneered and crafted here 5 in order to capture state power, those were actually emulated by South African architects of 6 7 apartheid. And so that's one way to realize 8 that we were actually, you know, historically 9 part of the novelty and innovation of using 10 white supremacy to organize migrants from Europe 11 predominantly who were then given the privileges 12 of being white.

13 It's not like people in Finland were running 14 around saying, we're white, we're white. They 15 were, you know, from small Finnish villages, 16 fishing villages, There's Norwegian fishing 17 villages. They came together as white within 18 places like British Columbia or New Zealand 19 because they were arriving and then being told, 20 you get Crown land that's been pre-empted. In 21 other words taken from indigenous peoples 22 unilaterally. You get it for free; you get a 23 hundred and whatever acres you want; it's yours. 24 But those other people arriving from other 25 places, they don't get it. If you're Chinese,

1 you don't get pre-empted Crown land. 2 And Crown land even that concept that all of 3 this unceded territory -- we acknowledge at the 4 beginning of formal meetings and hearings in 5 British Columbia and in the city of Vancouver and other places, at UBC where I teach, we've 6 7 been acknowledging for decades we're on unceded territory. It means that there was no deal made 8 9 to take the land away; it was unilaterally declared Crown land. 10 11 And so I think one of the difficult things 12 is to understand that the novelty of the last 13 50 years of all these Asians coming and as if 14 the norm, what is normal, is a world that is 15 British Columbia is white.

16 There were political campaigns: white man's 17 province. My colleague Patricia Roy, who taught 18 for decades at you U Vic, you know, wrote an 19 incredibly well-researched trilogy of books 20 about the rise of a white man's province as an 21 idea, how it was politically made popular. How 22 campaigns, slogans, there was a bar song called 23 "White Canada Forever," some of the most popular 24 pieces of bar, you know, kind of singalong music 25 in the early 20th century.

1		So white supremacy is popularized, made
2		politically useful and captures a state, you
3		could say. And that's where laws
4		increasingly number of laws are passed in the
5		early 20th century that are exclusionary, that
6		are racist, to use that very specific term. But
7		they're discriminatory based on racial
8		difference.
9	Q	That's very with useful. And I will be circling
10		back to a number of the themes that you've
11		touched on through that.
12	MR.	MARTLAND: I'm going to go to a different paper,
13		which will be number 7 on the list, Madam
14		Registrar, which is the paper "Global Migrants
15		and the New Pacific Canada."
16	Q	Professor, you'll recognize this as an article
17		that you wrote in 2009 published in
18		International Journal?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	And again to read from the very start there, you
21		talk about the new Canada.
22		"In the last quarter of a"
23	MR.	MARTLAND: And maybe I should pause because I
24		don't want to forget to mark it as an exhibit.
25		Mr. Commissioner, if I could please ask this

```
Henry Yu (for the commission)
Exam by Mr. Martland
```

1 become exhibit 642. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, very well. THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 642. 3 4 EXHIBIT 642: Global migrants and the new 5 Pacific Canada - International - Journal - Henry Yu - Autumn 2009 6 MR. MARTLAND: 7 8 "In the last quarter of a century, a Q 9 wholesale shift of immigration patterns 10 from transatlantic to trans-Pacific flows 11 has created a new Canada." 12 You say "the changes were quiet at first," but 13 go on to say: 14 "... rising in volume during the 1980s so 15 that increasingly the voices of the 'new 16 Canada' are spoken in various Asian 17 languages." 18 If we flip over to page 3 of the journal 19 article. And you're addressing here the 20 democratic reality of this new Canada, we see in 21 the -- towards the top of that page the second 22 paragraph: "What is clear, however, is that 23 24 trans-Pacific migration from Asia has 25 transformed Canada in the last 25 years.

1 Toronto and Vancouver have become the 2 urban capitals of Pacific Canada, and 3 Vancouver in particular has become a city 4 in which the term 'visible minority' to describe Asians makes no sense." 5 Could you help us understand a bit about what 6 you describe as the new Pacific Canada and what 7 8 you're addressing in this paper. Sure. I think there's a couple of things that 9 А perhaps most of us understand at a kind of 10 11 experiential level. You've felt it if you live 12 in Vancouver, Toronto and in many ways Montreal, 13 the three largest cities of Canada. The 14 majority of new migrants, the people who came 15 since the 1970s, since we reformed immigration 16 policy in 1967, the points system is basically 17 to remove racial preferences from immigration. 18 So it was built, the original immigration 19 policies in Canada, around white supremacy, 20 around the exclusion of non-whites on the whole 21 and the use of various forms of policy to 22 privilege and centre Canadian settlement on 23 European migration. And not all of Europe 24 either. I mean, there was preferences again for 25 people from certain parts of northwestern

Europe, but not to get into the details of that. But 1967 turned it into a points system which was basically, you could say, blind to race and now emphasized things like education, someone in a profession that we like, do they have family members already here. So it's the removal of preferential -- racial preference.

8 So what happened after that is that at first 9 there didn't seem to be a huge shift in change 10 and partly that's because the rules were still designed not to fundamentally change Canada. I 11 12 mean, if you -- family reunification, for 13 instance. If you're a society that's already 14 dominated by, you know, descendants of people 15 who came from Europe, you are not going to think 16 that family reunification policies are going to 17 fundamentally shift your demographic profile 18 because family of people already here would be 19 more people related to people already here.

20 So again I think one of the things that 21 perhaps was quiet about the shifts and changes 22 is that a lot of new migrants were coming to 23 cities. So they were urban to urban. Again, 24 just very quickly, from historical point of view 25 a lot of migration in the 19th century, so 1 150 years ago, was rural to rural. In fact a 2 majority of people lived in rural places in 3 Canada. It wasn't -- we didn't shift to a 4 majority urban society until around World War I, around 1915 to 1918. Since then we've become 5 increasingly urban. And so now you think about 6 the vast majority of Canadians are urban or 7 suburban, you know, living within the area of an 8 9 urban concentration.

10 And so increasingly migration has been urban 11 to urban. People come from other cities around 12 the world to our cities. And so what has 13 happened is our major cities have become the 14 major destinations for new migration and 15 therefore our cities have changed more quickly. 16 And again this is experientially -- I think 17 anybody who's living here will realize, yeah, 18 our cities are quite diverse globally because of 19 the changes in immigration policy.

You have people now coming from all around the world. And a place like Vancouver and a place like Toronto -- in fact Toronto is one of the most diverse cities in all of North America in terms of origin. Toronto and Chicago are right up there as the most diverse cities in terms of the origins of the peoples who live
 there.

3 And so one of the things that I was trying 4 to point out was that this diversity in terms of 5 origin has predominantly affected our cities. And so, again, if you look at the Stats Canada 6 7 statistics, you can go online, it's very easy to 8 look up, you can see that, again, the majority of visibility minorities, so those we category 9 as non-white, live in cities. Our main cities. 10

11 Another sort of -- again, something that we 12 experience is that because of that shift to 13 trans-Pacific migration as being really the bulk 14 of new migrations in the last 50 years -- and, 15 again, there's a chart in this article you can 16 take a look at, you can look at the top ten places of origin, you know, in terms of new 17 18 migrations or new immigrants, new arrivals --19 and basically European national origins have 20 dropped off and our top three at the time I 21 wrote the article was China, the Philippines and 22 India.

And so how that has kind of reshaped our cities is visible. I mean, literally you can see that our cities have become more diverse and

1 Asian residents and citizens who basically in 2 1950 were a minority, again a visible 3 minority -- that's when that term really made 4 sense -- were no -- it didn't make sense anymore 5 because -- for a place like Vancouver where a quarter of our population was ethnic Chinese 6 7 heritage. 8 Now, some of them are fourth generation, 9 some -- like me and others are -- were, you 10 know, second generation or more recent. But the 11 visibility of that kind of trans-Pacific 12 migration -- in fact even in 2006 Chinese 13 migrants were not the number one sending region. 14 People weren't -- it wasn't China as number one 15 sending region. At that time India and the 16 Philippines were actually quite high, you know, 17 depending on which year you pick. You know,

18 people from India, that was the number one 19 origin.

20 So that is basically the argument. Now, 21 there's another aspect which is tied to the 22 article that we were just speaking about which 23 is, again, is this so novel. What was novel 24 about it and what isn't. And so I think that's 25 a slightly different question, as I said, that

1 was the heart of the previous one. 2 And just to give you context for this paper, 3 I was asked to actually write this paper as part 4 of the celebration of the 25th anniversary of 5 the Asia Pacific Foundation being founded. And so that was created as a bipartisan federal --6 7 you know, federal entity by -- I believe it was at the time Joe Clark and Jack Austin, who were 8 9 the two -- a Conservative and a Liberal, you 10 know, sort of political icons who believed that we needed to have more knowledge about Asia 11 12 produced in, you know, basically a non-partisan 13 way by academics and experts and research. 14 And that's -- and so just to give the 15 context for this paper and why this paper was 16 even written was I was asked to give a talk at 17 that celebration, so to speak, on the 25th

18 anniversary in Ottawa, and then this paper came 19 out of it. So basically the things that I was 20 asked to speak about. And so it is a 21 crystallization, you could say, of a moment of 22 reflection on our history and also on the 23 history of the founding of the Asia Pacific 24 Foundation as really a non-profit based here in 25 Vancouver again, not Ottawa, to look at this

1 long-standing relationship between Canada and, 2 you know, across the Pacific but also the very, 3 you could say, substantial changes that have 4 been occurring. 5 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. If we could please bring up, Madam Registrar, I think it will be tab 8 in 6 the list of documents, a review essay called --7 8 there we see it -- "Is Vancouver the Future Or 9 the Past? Asian Migrants and White Supremacy." 10 Professor, you'll recognize this as being your Ο 11 paper in Pacific Historical Review from 2006? 12 А Yes, it's my -- a review essay of several 13 studies about Vancouver and British Columbia. 14 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, if this could please be marked as exhibit 643. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Very, very well. 643. 16 17 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you. 18 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 643. 19 EXHIBIT 643: Review Essay - Is Vancouver the 20 Future or the Past - Henry Yu - 2006 21 MR. MARTLAND: 22 0 And we see there at the very start mention of 23 Expo 86 in Vancouver. You go on to address some 24 of the themes. If we go down a little bit we 25 can see reference -- and I won't read it all

1 out, but you talk about Vancouver achieving an 2 almost iconic status as the harbinger of a 3 coming transnational Pacific world. If you 4 could please in a brief way tell us a little bit 5 about the topics you're addressing in this paper specifically about the city or the region of 6 Vancouver. 7 Yeah, it was a review essay which meant that I 8 А 9 was actually reviewing other scholars' work. So 10 just to be totally clear that the two books in 11 question that you can see right there listed. 12 One was a book about recent Pacific Rim 13 migration by a scholar name Katharyne Mitchell,

14 who is now teaching at University of Washington 15 in Seattle. At the time she was a Berkeley PhD. 16 When she did this research worked actually here 17 for -- as a post-doc with, I believe, David Ley, 18 a professor, my colleague in geography.

19 So it was really focused actually on recent 20 migrations across the Pacific, specifically 21 Chinese, and how it had been reshaping places 22 like Vancouver. And so that was one book that 23 was being reviewed. And the other was again the 24 scholar I mentioned before, Patricia Roy, and 25 this was the third book in her trilogy.

1 Actually, sorry, not the third book. It was the 2 second book in her trilogy, the middle book, 3 about basically the period from 1914 to 1941 where this consolidating -- and this was her 4 5 title, "Consolidating a White Man's Province." And her argument was this was the period really, 6 7 you know, in that middle part of 8 British Columbia's history, you know, that 9 middle 50 years, you could say when white 10 supremacy was consolidated.

11 Where the politics of white supremacy 12 designed to capture, you could say, the 13 province's political power, you know, in terms 14 of its legislature and government and all the 15 policies that could enacted if you could capture 16 that same power, that this was the height of 17 that process. And that in that period you had 18 many of the most sort of straightforward forms 19 of racial discrimination, exclusion and not just 20 in immigration, as I said the 1923 Chinese 21 Exclusion Act being one indicator, but things 22 like removal of Japanese Canadians during the 23 Second World War and afterwards. After the war 24 was over the liquidation advisedly used of their 25 property so that they had nothing to return to.

1 Other things, again, I think that are quite 2 important to understand in that period is just 3 the normalcy that was achieved by the politics 4 of white supremacy. So, you know, we had a Ku 5 Klux Klan. A lot of people don't remember that or realize it, but we had a Ku Klux Klan that 6 7 was organized in Shaughnessy. And that was a 8 way in which the politics of white supremacy 9 became so normal that people just felt in everyday life that that was the way it was. 10

11 And I mean that not only that if you had 12 kind of the privileges of being a white British 13 Columbian or a white Canadian, that it was a 14 redundant term. You didn't have to say white 15 British Columbian. You know, a white man's 16 province was with a political slogan that once 17 it was successfully implemented you didn't have 18 to say "British Columbia is a white man's 19 province"; it just became normal to understand 20 British Columbia as built for white residents 21 and that everybody else didn't belong.

And that in fact that achievement that Patricia Roy was trying to explain as a scholar, how did we come to a world where it was just so normal to say "white Canadian" and think that

24

25

1 Canadians are white. That you didn't have to 2 say "white Canadian," you just say "Canadian" or 3 "British Columbian" and you just imagine who a 4 British Columbian is and you imagine a European 5 settler. And it's effectively weaved into the concept or 6 Ο 7 the abstract concept of what being a British Columbian or maybe a Canadian is, you're saying 8 9 it really implied white European descent, not First Nations, not ethnically Asian, what have 10 11 you? 12 А Exactly. And I think that's really something 13 difficult for people to understand right now how 14 that took a lot of work. When we say well, 15 everybody back then was racist, often what we're 16 saying is well, everybody -- this was normal. 17 But how do you make something normal when it's 18 not normal before. So, you know, as a historian 19 you can't just pick a date and say well, yeah, 20 everybody thought this way in 1942. You know, 21 actually not everyone thought that way. 22 Japanese Canadians, for instance, I am 23 pretty sure didn't want to be removed from their

homes and lose all their jobs and be -- and then

have all their property sold pennies on the

1 dollar without their permission. You know, so 2 if you say everybody was racist, you go, well, I 3 don't believe Japanese Canadians wanted what was 4 done to them to be done. I don't believe most 5 indigenous peoples would say yeah, take away our land and, you know, give us a little corner of 6 what we used to live in and call it "reserve" 7 and make us get a pass in order to leave that 8 9 little plot of land. Or Chinese weren't saying 10 yeah, please, don't allow us to live in all 11 kinds of places and please don't allow us to 12 operate businesses in all kind of places.

13 So even the idea that there's a normalcy and 14 that we think that it's okay to say well, yeah, 15 well, everybody was racist back then. Well, 16 that took work to create a sense of who belongs, 17 who deserves things like land, who deserves to 18 vote, who deserves to be able to make money 19 here, who deserves to receive, for instance, 20 pre-empted land. So that meant if you came from, 21 you know, just to name -- if you came from 22 Scotland or if you came from Norway or if you 23 came from Germany, you could arrive and you 24 basically were allowed to get pre-empted land, 25 land that had been taken from indigenous peoples

and now it could be yours. For free. For
basically the price of the registration fee in
order to register you to be now the rightful
owner. If you are non-white, you could -- none
of that was yours, and in fact if you were
indigenous that was -- this was your land that
was being given away.

8 So it's like fencing stolen goods. You 9 know, if someone comes to you with a stolen 10 stereo and you say, here, it's a free stereo, 11 now that becomes normal. If you're a white 12 British Columbian you get free stereos; you get 13 pre-empted land. If you're non-white, you don't. 14 It doesn't belong to you. It's not yours. It's 15 either been taken from you if you're Indigenous 16 or it's being given to others and you being 17 here, you don't belong.

18 And so that sense of belonging was at the 19 centre of this -- my review of these two 20 scholar's work was that, you know, these are two 21 studies, in other words, of seemingly two very 22 different periods of time. That period between 23 World War I and World War II where this becomes 24 normal and then Katharyne Mitchell in describing 25 the 1980s basically, you know, the period around

1 Expo 86 before and afterwards, why is it that in 2 this period having people coming from Hong 3 Kong -- in particular a lot of this was about 4 Hong Kong Chinese migration in the 1980s before 5 the 1997 reversion of political control from the British to the Chinese -- that that moment 6 7 seemed such a novelty, such a huge break from the past. 8 9 And in sort of talking about these, as with 10 the previous essay, they are not disconnected 11 from each other. Again, in the ways that I 12 was -- that, you know, in the previous essay to 13 bring these two moments together is to 14 understand that they are actually our history as 15 British Columbians. It's one single history, 16 it's one single story and the story is not the 17 one that often we believe sort of as part of our 18 education growing up here which is this place 19 was pioneered by white settlement, and native 20 peoples, as the term is often called, kind of 21 disappeared. And then we became the natives. 22 "We" meaning white British Columbians became the 23 next -- so, again, in 1920s at the same time 24 that the Ku Klux Klan was being organized, there 25 was native sons and daughters of

1 British Columbia. And what that meant was 2 actually people who lived in places like 3 Shaughnessy, saying, we are the natives; we are 4 native to -- and you see that in many legal 5 terms, you know --Taking the term -- transposing that term to 6 Ο 7 refer to the white settler population. 8 Exactly. And so if you think of that kind of А 9 magic, I would -- I call it a kind of magical alchemy because first you sort of use the term 10 11 native and speak about indigenous peoples, you 12 know, a status Indian; they're native. And 13 you'll see a lot of anti-, again, legislation 14 that's sort of aimed at indigenous peoples, 15 referring to them as natives. 16 And then you begin to get this magical 17 alchemy in the period that Dr. Roy describes 18 where you begin to think of, I'm European 19 migrant and in particular a migrant who is from 20 the British Isles, and now I'm the native; I'm 21 the native of British Columbia and it's for me. 22 And that sense of a normalcy of what is mine, 23 what belongs to me, even if you just got off the 24 train, you know, the CPR that would bring you 25 from, say, the east coast, if you arrive in

25

1 Halifax by ship and then you get -- eventually 2 get on a train to come out west. Well, that 3 train becomes the symbol of going west to a 4 place like British Columbia. It's the edge of 5 empire, you could say. And the fact that for instance, the 6 7 British Columbia portions were built by Chinese 8 labour who came across the ocean, that becomes a 9 kind of footnote because the dominant story is 10 this place, this white British Columbia, white 11 man's province, is ours naturally and normally. 12 And I think that is the thing that is very 13 difficult for people even now to understand how 14 much work, how much political work it took and 15 how much we still actually use many of these 16 categories of belonging of who deserves things 17 like land, who deserves to make money and to 18 enjoy the wealth here or the -- and the 19 resources. And I think those are the most 20 difficult for people to understand unless you 21 understand this history as one history. 22 MR. MARTLAND: Madam Registrar, we don't need the 23 document displayed anymore. 24 But to pick up, Professor, on what you were just Q

describing, I take part of your comment to be

1 the effect that none of this is either 2 accidental or inevitable. There was a great 3 deal of effort and deliberation into really 4 structuring a system where the way the power is 5 held and wielded was very deliberately to the benefit of one population and to the exclusion 6 or detriment of others. 7 Yeah, I think you've put it quite well in that 8 А the work it took to build it -- and now one of 9 the interesting things from a historical point 10 11 of view is once you build something, you know, 12 it's hard to change. 13 So I'll give you an example. If you say if 14 you can't vote, you can't become a member of the 15 bar or of the medical association, or 16 engineering -- professional engineers 17 association. So it seems very innocuous. Of 18 course people with these very serious 19 professions should have the franchise, should be 20 voting members, citizens of the place that they 21 are professionals. Yet with that little policy, 22 you could say, you will disenfranchise literally 23 non-whites. But not only that you will also 24 make it impossible for them to be making 25 arguments in court. And so you can pass all
1 kinds of things with little resistance. 2 There was a law in British Columbia that if you were indigenous, you couldn't gather in 3 4 order to talk about land claims. That was 5 against the law. Now, if you want to challenge that law, you'd have to find a lawyer who is 6 willing to fight for you because you can't go 7 8 make an argument in court. You can't be a member of the bar. 9 10 And so one of the things that was 11 accomplished through white supremacy was a 12 normalcy as to who our professions are. Who are 13 doctors. Who are lawyers. Who are engineers. 14 And that becomes so normal that it becomes very 15 difficult to dismantle even as, you know, after 16 1947 Chinese could now become lawyers. And you 17 see immediately a man like Douglas Jung who 18 volunteered to fight for Canada during the 19 Second World War. Both he and his brother 20 Arthur Jung, they both volunteered to fight even 21 though they have no rights as citizens. They 22 cannot vote. They cannot become lawyers. They cannot become doctors. They cannot -- there's 23 24 places that they cannot live. There's jobs they 25 cannot hold. And yet they volunteer.

1 And Douglas Jung, you know, becomes a 2 veteran after the war and then becomes a lawyer. 3 He is able to go to law school. And it's not 4 that he couldn't before, it's just that now he 5 can go to law school and actually become a lawyer versus going to law school, learning law 6 7 and then having to become like Douglas -- sorry, Gordon Cumyow, goes becomes a trained lawyer and 8 can only be a court interpreter because he can't 9 be a member of the bar. 10

11 And so within one generation the difference 12 between Gordon Cumyow and Douglas Jung is that 13 Douglas Jung after 1947 can vote and now can be 14 a lawyer. Douglas Jung goes on to become a 15 lawyer, he goes to Osgoode Hall to do law 16 school, graduates, and in 1957 becomes the 17 member of parliament representing Vancouver Centre. He becomes the first Chinese Canadian 18 to be elected to federal office. After that he 19 20 becomes the ambassador for Canada to the United 21 Nations.

In fact when he is -- there's a story that his family told, and this is the privilege of hearing this from his own descendants that when he was ceded at the United Nations someone

1 questioned him saying, no, this is for the 2 Canadian ambassador. Again, the assumption 3 being the Canadian ambassador has got to be 4 white because that's what's normal by that 5 period of time. And so I think the achievements of white 6 7 supremacy to normalize, to use that kind of 8 fancy term, but just to make normal, to create such norms that what does a lawyer look like. 9 10 Well, of course the lawyer is white. What's an 11 engineer look like? Well, of course it's -- and 12 this is notwithstanding, like, gendered norms 13 like he's also probably male. But at that time 14 race is incredibly powerful as a kind of just 15 presumption of what is normal, but it takes work 16 to create that. You have to have exclusionary 17 policies. You have to actually say no, you are 18 not allowed in order to create that sense of 19 normalcy. 20 And the Douglas Jung story is a way to just 21 think with how quickly and yet how slowly also 22 these things change. So quickly is as soon as

Douglas Jung is allowed, he literally goes to
fight for that privilege. And he risks his life
along with many other Chinese Canadians who

1 become veterans.

2 And many of them take advantage very quickly 3 of the opening as they literally have fought for 4 the vote, struggled for the vote and they get 5 the vote. And now they're going to be able to become lawyers and doctors. So you can say it's 6 7 very quick, but then long term it takes a long 8 time to erode the sense that they are abnormal, that they are still the first Chinese Canadian 9 10 lawyer, or wow, I've never met a Chinese 11 Canadian lawyer; how interesting; how different.

12 And so that sense of normalcy which is 13 actually a legacy, you could say, of white 14 supremacy, that takes a lot longer than the 15 legal dismantling to take hold and take effect. 16 And I think that would be one thing I would say 17 is very crucial to understand what is happening 18 right now in British Columbia. You know, 19 just -- Premier Horgan yesterday had to address, 20 you know, anti-Asian racism. What is going on? 21 Why are people blaming Chinese and people who 22 look Chinese for everything? And I think that's 23 one of the most difficult things to understand 24 is that we may have dismantled the legal 25 apparatus of our version of apartheid, but what

1 takes much longer as a kind of legacy of that is 2 the sense of what normalcy was in that period 3 that Patricia Roy described. And so when she 4 used the term "consolidating" a white man's 5 province, it meant consolidating not just the legal structures but consolidating what those 6 legal structures and policies would create as a 7 8 sense of a normal British Columbia is a white 9 man's province. I wonder if you could -- if I could circle back 10 0 11 to one of the comments you made. And you 12 described and touched on different points in 13 time in which there have been sort of periods of 14 migration in particular -- both ways, but in 15 particular from China and from east Asia to 16 Canada, but in particular Vancouver and the 17 Province of BC. You described one of those 18 periods being that period in the 80s, I think 19 around Expo 86 and in the 80s through to the

20 1997 so-called handover back to China and 21 Governor Chris Patton and all of the 22 anticipation that surrounded that and the 23 implications in terms of migration. 24 Could you tell us a little bit about

different periods of time that you think are

1 relevant to understand when there have been sort 2 of periods of heightened movement of people and 3 for that matter capital from Asia to Canada? 4 А Sure. I think the first thing I would say is 5 that sense of back and forth that you've mentioned right away, that is something that in 6 the 1980s -- and this is where Katharyne 7 8 Mitchell's work -- and not her alone, but I 9 point it out in my review that if you make it too much of oh, my gosh, these Chinese, these 10 11 Hong Kong Chinese are moving around. We're 12 going to even use a term circular migration. 13 They're going around, you know, back and forth; 14 this is so strange; it's some kind of Chinese 15 thing.

16 Actually if you think of imperial migrants or colonial migrants, people from the British 17 18 Isles were moving within the empire in 19 incredibly mobile ways. So it wasn't just 20 governors that would be posted at different 21 colonies and moving around. You had lots of 22 people who would come to Canada, they'd be in 23 British Columbia for a while, then they go to 24 New Zealand, then they'd move on to Sidney, 25 Australia, or they might even go back to

1 Scotland if they came from Scotland or Wales. So that idea of mobility of people was actually 2 3 part of the imperial routes, these kind of 4 pathways that you could take within the British 5 Empire. And in fact if you -- there's a wonderful 6 study that just sort of thinks about Scots and 7 Cantonese, so -- and moving along the same 8 9 routes. They're taking the same ships, you know, and they're moving along the same pathways 10 and they're going the same directions, in 11 12 multiple directions. And that was really fairly 13 normative. Again, if you were a migration 14 scholar, you look at how people move around, 15 that's actually quite normal for the 19th and 16 early 20th century. And in fact if you look at family histories and if people here think about, 17 18 okay, if you dig around family history, we seem 19 to think about the last place you land as okay, 20 there you are. But how many other places have 21 you been in your life and how many -- you know, 22 moving around, that kind of peripatetic people's 23 life journeys, where do they go to school; it's 24 different from where they eventually get their 25 first job and eventually they settle down and

have kids somewhere and then their kids go
 somewhere.

3 So, you know, if you think of the multiple 4 locations people have been at, you know, as a 5 migration scholar -- I study migration history; I study people moving -- actually it's quite 6 normative this sort of multiple locations. But 7 8 one of the things that you could see happening 9 in the 1980s as we saw Hong Kong Chinese come is 10 the visibility of their mobility. What they 11 were doing, it's like wow, look at these people; 12 they move back and forth.

13 But actually, you know, you think of a lot 14 of people -- you know, how many Canadians go to 15 teach English in Japan at the time in the 1980s, 16 or now you finish your schooling, you go teach English in China, you learn some Chinese at the 17 18 same time, then you get a job. The kind of 19 moving back and forth across the Pacific is 20 actually quite common, it's just we notice it as 21 being strange with certain sets of people. And 22 I think that focus in the 1980s started to arise 23 that Hong Kong Chinese, you know, they are 24 circular in their motions. They move around a 25 lot, and that's strange and of note; that's

weird.

1

2 And I'll just sort of go to the second part 3 of your question, which is if you think about 4 people moving around and some people moving 5 around it's weird, strange, and other people you don't even think about it. Your kids, you know, 6 7 they decide to go to somewhere to look for a 8 job, perfectly normal. Someone leaving the 9 Maritimes to come to British Columbia for a job, 10 perfectly normal. Albertans cross back and 11 forth between the British Columbia -- you know, 12 across the provincial border, perfectly normal; 13 right? You go teach English somewhere, 14 perfectly normal. But Hong Kong Chinese coming 15 and going back, strange.

16 If you think about capital like people, and this is I think crucial for understanding the 17 18 issues that you're dealing with in this 19 commission, every time you start to think about 20 Chinese people and what they do as weird, if you 21 just slop in the word "capital" or "money" and 22 you'll see that there are parallels between how 23 we understand the strangeness or the abnormal 24 quality of Chinese people doing this. Just swap 25 in "capital," swap in "money."

1 It's perfectly fine for a 500-year-old plus 2 company like Grosvenor to move capital around everywhere around the world. They were moving 3 4 it all around the British Empire, investing it in all kinds of places, including places like 5 British Columbia. Is it okay that Grosvenor 6 7 moves capital around everywhere within the 8 empire? Perfectly normal. It's okay. In fact 9 accepted. But if you are Chinese capital or you're a Chinese person and you move around, 10 11 that's suspect. That we've got to check out a 12 little bit more. And I think that would be my 13 way of kind of to give a frame for some issues 14 of mobility and whose mobility is up for 15 question. Whose belonging is up for question 16 because how will we attack someone as not 17 belonging? Well, because they move around a 18 lot.

19If you are -- I'll give you a very good20example because it's actually one of the21examples I often used in my own historical22research is that you have people who were, you23know, raised in British Columbia and who go on24mission to China or to Japan. You could leave25as a teenager and go on mission, you know, and

you were going to be missionary for basically
 your whole life. And then you could come back
 to retire to British Columbia and you belonged.
 In fact it was laudable that you had just spent
 45, 50 years trying to bring the good word to,
 you know, the people in China or Japan.

7 You belonged when you were young, you 8 belonged when you weren't here for 50 years and 9 you belonged when you came back. We don't label 10 that as a circular migrant, as someone who is 11 mobile and moving around. And did their being 12 in China for 50 years somehow undermine their 13 belonging when they came back here to retire? 14 Do we sort of think of them as well, geez, you 15 really don't deserve your retirement and pension 16 benefits because you didn't put any money into 17 our system, so we really have to take a look at 18 you.

19I use that as an explicit example to kind of20think about how what seemed like abstract21circular migration. We, you know, I have22colleagues, very smart colleagues -- I like them23immensely as colleagues and I like them as24people -- but often they will make conceptual25slips where they will use very abstract

categories like circularity, this is novel, this
 is people moving around and being in multiple
 places, how novel. These Hong Kong Chinese,
 they seem to be able to be back and forth
 between Hong Kong and Canada.

And then I will say well, how many --6 actually I know lots of people who are Canadian 7 8 foreign service folks in global -- you know, who 9 are foreign affairs and they're constantly moving around. They may be strange because they 10 11 are diplomats, but we don't say these Canadian 12 diplomats are so weird. You know, we have to 13 understand their motion and their mobility as 14 something that really ought to be explained as 15 strange. You know, what cultural trait leads 16 these people who are in foreign affairs to do this. We think of it as a personal choice. We 17 18 think of it as laudable that they're doing it on 19 behalf of our nation.

20 So I just -- I raise this as a way of just 21 framing as we perhaps talk a little bit about 22 the character of money and our, moral 23 evaluations of money. I'm happy to talk about 24 that, but within the frame of people and money, 25 sometimes it's useful heuristically to just --

1 when we say a bunch of stuff about people or 2 when we say a bunch of stuff about money, an 3 object that moves around, swap it, and is there 4 something distinctive about how we talk about 5 Chinese money and Chinese people. It's effectively -- I take it that's a technique 6 Ο 7 or a method of testing the proposition a little 8 bit because as I hear you describe, it makes me ask or wonder to what extent there's sort of --9 10 and I don't know in this is the right framework 11 to use, but to think of it in terms of 12 visibility versus invisibility. So if a bunch 13 of money moves here from a British or, let's 14 say, an American investment company or person 15 and purchases real estate, that seems to be 16 relatively invisible compared to the perception 17 that -- I remember in Banff, Alberta, in the 1980s and 90s there was this sense that the 18 19 Japanese were buying up the place. So that 20 was -- seemed to me at one level reflective not 21 the fact that money outside Alberta had moved 22 into Banff but rather that you had a group of 23 people perceived to be foreign and maybe that 24 circles back to your comments about belonging. 25 Yeah, exactly. And I think you've put it very А

1 well to use the term visibility/invisibility. 2 It's a very uniquely Canadian term of visible minorities. In fact if you just think about 3 4 what could that actually mean. Because it's a 5 term no one else uses. Again, I've been in a lot of places around the world, you know, 6 7 scholars who would think about race, people who 8 normally just think about -- nobody else uses 9 this term. 10 So what is it about in Canada very 11 specifically that we thought this was a useful 12 term. I'm not again trying to evaluate whether 13 it is a useful term or not, but what leads us in 14 Canada to think it's useful. And part of what 15 that is based upon is the assumed invisibility 16 of a majority. That there is a majority white 17 Canada or a majority white British Columbia that 18 is normal, and then there's these visible 19 people. They're visible to us precisely because 20 of the invisibility of everyone else, and that 21 is manufactured. That takes political work. It 22 takes historical immigration policy. It takes 23 disenfranchisement. It takes all these things 24 to create the invisible majority which is 25 implied or only makes sense to think of a

visible minority within the context of the
 invisibility majority.

3 And so if you think about that and take --4 you know, build on what you were raising as a 5 distinction, the invisibility of capital that travels globally that has German origins if 6 7 whatever American, British, you know, again the 8 example of Grosvenor is a good one. This is a privately -- you know, it's a family company 9 that's been in the -- you know, generation after 10 11 generation. And I don't mean to pick on 12 Grosvenor. It's just an example of invisible 13 money. That when Grosvenor's capital moves 14 around and sinks into real estate in a place 15 like Vancouver, it's invisible. But the 16 visibility of some people, and again going back to the context of that article about what is 17 18 going on in our cities that there's this 19 visibility, a rise of non-white populations in 20 our cities, these particular Asian bodies that 21 are visible, these visible minorities and why is 22 it that as they approach larger and larger 23 proportions, you know, 5 percent, 10 percent, 24 15 percent, 20 percent, why is this bothering 25 people so much? And why is the money seemingly

1 associated with them -- I'll put kind of 2 asterisks around that. Is there really 3 something called Chinese money? Is there really 4 something that -- is the money actually moving 5 from where you think it's moving from. So I'll give you an example. You see a real 6 estate agent in front of a house and you see --7 8 it looks like a couple interested in buying it. They have Asian faces. Are you assuming that 9 they're all from China? 10 11 They've been here generations before the white 0 12 person watching them necessarily. 13 If I'm going to buy a house is someone saying А 14 look, it's another foreigner; it's another 15 person from China. The visibility of race -- I 16 think we understand that concept. "Visible 17 minority" is our way of saying race or racism or racial discrimination or racial difference, and 18 19 yet it's actually also a way of hiding it 20 because in some sense it both points to the 21 invisible majority and yet also obscures that 22 there is this invisible normal that we don't 23 make note of and we don't mind. 24 So we don't mind foreigners investing here 25 and I'll use very explicitly the foreign buyers

1 tax that was passed five years ago. And full 2 disclosure, I have made known both publicly as 3 well as within that court case that -- you know, 4 some of the same points that I'm making now 5 which is what is -- what do you mean by "foreigner"? Who do you think you mean when you 6 7 say "foreign buyer"? And if you -- if you are saying Grosvenor, then okay. I'm okay with you 8 9 not liking foreign capital. But if you only think it's Chinese, then you've got a problem. 10

And you've got a problem in not knowing what 11 12 you're really saying when you say "foreigner," 13 then, because American -- again if you go back 14 to my former colleague, who's now retired, 15 Michael Goldberg, who was at the time the school 16 of commerce now the Sauder School of Commerce, 17 you know, he did this study back when the Hong 18 Kong Chinese seemingly were overtaking 19 Vancouver. And he looked at it and is, like, 20 there's lots of sources of foreign investment at 21 that time. American, German, you know, British. 22 And yet why was this small proportion, which is 23 not even approaching 50 percent -- it was in 24 fact a very small proportion at that time of the 25 total foreign capital coming in and yet people

1 were up in arms.

2 And I think that is from -- you know, this 3 is a long, long answer to I think your original 4 question about let's talk about the 80s. But I 5 think one of the reasons why the 80s is interesting in this moment, it is history. Even 6 though I lived through it and I think probably 7 8 most of the people on this panel lived through it, it is history. My students who are 18, you 9 know, when I try to explain the 80s, it's 10 11 history to them, so --12 Yeah, teach it to them. Yeah. Ο 13 And I always take it as if my students didn't А 14 live through it, then it must be history. But 15 in some sense what maybe in our lifetime, if we treat it as this was a historical moment and I 16 17 have to explain it as history to a whole 18 generation now of people, I think one of the 19 things that's very distinctive about what 20 happened in the 1980s, and you saw headlines of 21 Hongcouver, you know, that there was this

22 takeover.

I sort of mention a couple of things that just to help us think about it as history. One is which neighbourhoods were the hot spots for

1 that kind of decrying of Hong Kong and foreign, 2 you know, influence and capital. It wasn't East 3 Van. I lived in East Van at the time. You 4 know, full disclosure, near Clark Park, Knight 5 and 17th. Nobody was up in arms about the Chinese. I mean, my block -- literally I was in 6 7 a cul-de-sac at Knight and 17th, you know. We had a Romanian, a Hungarian family, an Italian 8 family, we had, you know, three or four, I 9 10 think, Chinese Canadian families. One -- like, we were all from somewhere else. Nobody was 11 12 sort of saying the Hong Kong Chinese are the 13 real problem, though, because we had all in some 14 sense come from somewhere else on that block. 15 So East Van was not some place where people were 16 decrying Hongcouver and things. 17 If you look at the actual neighbourhoods,

18 places like Kerrisdale, Shaughnessy, even 19 British Properties wasn't that big a deal. But 20 if you look at the neighbourhoods, they were 21 places that, again thinking back to Patricia 22 Roy's argument about -- they were the places 23 that had been consolidated as a white man's 24 province. As a place that was really not --25 Shaughnessy it's okay to be in there if you're

1 Chinese if you're a servant. It's kind of like 2 Harry Potter; it's okay if you're under the 3 staircase. If you're the butler, you're the cook, 4 you're okay in Shaughnessy. In fact most 5 Shaughnessy homes employed Chinese servants, so Chinese were everywhere in Shaughnessy, but not 6 7 as homeowners. You weren't buying a place in 8 Shaughnessy if you were Chinese in the 1920s to 9 1940s. No, not good. Servant, good.

And so I think that normal -- sense of a 10 11 normal order in fact was one of the things that 12 really saw this outcry in the 1980s. I always 13 say to my students, the amazing thing about that 14 moment, the Hongcouver moment, is not that it 15 happened. From a historical point of view it's 16 almost inevitable, you could say, that something 17 like this was going to happen. To me the 18 amazing thing was how short-lived it was. What 19 I mean by that is that really within a few years 20 after -- from 1986 Expo, if you want to say it, 21 there were -- there was former supreme court 22 justices saying, who is this province for, 23 essentially. The Vancouver Sun I believe op-ed 24 piece, you know, from a retired supreme court 25 justice saying, you know, this is not who we

21

1 built British Columbia for. Again, that 2 normalcy that Patricia Roy mentioned. 3 But then really by 1997 I think younger 4 generations of British Columbians said, this is 5 who we are; this is -- you know, we go to school together; we have our -- you know, this is a 6 7 city that has actually already changed. We have romantic relationships. We have couples. We 8 have children together. You know, again in 9 10 1990s it was also when so many of our young went 11 to Japan to teach English. Something you 12 mentioned. And it becomes so normal to think of 13 this trans-Pacific connection between Vancouver 14 and places like Japan and Hong Kong. And not at 15 that time yet China. China had not really 16 opened up to the point where mainland China was 17 one of those places that our youth considered 18 going. 19 But -- and I think that is -- from a 20 historical point of view is the short-lived

forgot what it was like with the Hongcouver and everything until five, six years ago when mainland Chinese suddenly we have, they're taking over. And all of a sudden we started --

nature of that. And in fact we've kind of

1 some of us anyways, to have that echo of, 2 haven't we seen this before? It's like -- it's sort of like a syndicated TV series. I think 3 4 I've seen this episode. And in some sense --5 An echo of --Q An echo of an earlier time. And I think that is 6 А useful to understand that echo because it's like 7 8 yeah, I've seen this before; I think I lived 9 through it. And one of the things to remark, 10 again, is how short-lived that feeling was in 11 the 1990s of alarm. And you could say it's 12 dated. And now we barely remember how the media 13 outcry and so many news headlines and, you 14 know -- so I think that's one of the things. 15 And I'll say this to say this will pass, 16 like, what's happening right now. It's just 17 that our capacity for forgetting the enduring 18 legacies of our history, that enduring ability 19 within a place like British Columbia to blame a 20 set of people for something that is not really 21 what's going on. And I think that may be the 22 most germane way to seque in some sense into the 23 present moment because that -- as you see with 24 the quickness to have the rise of anti-Asian 25 hate crime within days, you could say, of the

1 onset of the pandemic.

2 Q Yeah.

3 А The ease with which British Columbians, you 4 could say -- not all. I am not saying everybody British Columbian. But the ease within this 5 society of being able to understand a problem as 6 7 being the Chinese problem. That is, I think, 8 something that you can't understand, you know, why we're so quick in the 1980s to blame Hong 9 Kong Chinese for basically for investments that 10 11 were coming from all around the world. But we 12 don't -- the invisibility of capital that was 13 coming from Germany, Britain, United States and 14 the visibility of Chinese capital, I think that 15 is important to understand.

16 Q Let me turn to that question about Chinese 17 capital in particular. And we haven't brought 18 you in as an expert on currency controls or 19 currency capital controls.

20 A Thankfully. Thankfully, no.

21 Q Don't worry. But I think you also do have a 22 useful perspective on this. And I'd like to do 23 this a little bit by just marching my way 24 through a few news reports or articles that 25 speak to the question.

1	MR.	MARTLAND: So we have an article, Madam
2		Registrar, the article by David Keohane from the
3		Financial Times.
4	Q	Professor Yu, you'll see that on screen. The
5		title's fairly self-explanatory. It dates to
6		March of 2016. "So You Want to Get Your Money
7		Out of China?" It's this little bit of, I
8		guess, a sense of humour to this. But it's
9		called the "Cut and Keep Edition." It starts by
10		saying:
11		"You're a rich Party official go-getter on
12		the Chinese mainland, with an eye on how
13		much the renminbi might fall over the next
14		year.
15		You know there's a very decent chance
16		that capital controls are going to be
17		tightened up soon.
18		You know that at the moment you can
19		still get your capital out of China — even
20		if it's a bit more than the annually
21		permitted \$50,000 per person - and that
22		it's probably going to cost you to do so."
23	A	Yeah.
24	Q	I'll just pause maybe to ask, you've had
25		you're familiar with this article describing the

1	moment in time, I guess, immediately before but
2	in anticipation of tightening currency controls?
3	A Yes, actually I do I am familiar with this
4	one as well as others from that time. Yeah.
5	MR. MARTLAND: Okay. Mr. Commissioner, if this could
6	please be marked I think exhibit 644, if my
7	numbering is right.
8	THE COMMISSIONER: Numbering is, and yes, it can be.
9	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 644.
10	MR. MARTLAND: All right. That's a relief.
11	EXHIBIT 644: So you want to get your money out
12	of China - Cut out and keep edition - FT
13	Alphaville, by David Keohane - March 3, 2016
10	
14	MR. MARTLAND:
14	MR. MARTLAND:
14 15	<pre>MR. MARTLAND: Q Page 2, in the table that we see and maybe we</pre>
14 15 16	<pre>MR. MARTLAND: Q Page 2, in the table that we see and maybe we can zoom in a little bit there. But you can see</pre>
14 15 16 17	<pre>MR. MARTLAND: Q Page 2, in the table that we see and maybe we can zoom in a little bit there. But you can see in the left-hand side there's a number of</pre>
14 15 16 17 18	<pre>MR. MARTLAND: Q Page 2, in the table that we see and maybe we can zoom in a little bit there. But you can see in the left-hand side there's a number of different methods that are put forward. It's</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>MR. MARTLAND: Q Page 2, in the table that we see and maybe we can zoom in a little bit there. But you can see in the left-hand side there's a number of different methods that are put forward. It's Titled "How to Turn a Small Fortune in China</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	MR. MARTLAND: Q Page 2, in the table that we see and maybe we can zoom in a little bit there. But you can see in the left-hand side there's a number of different methods that are put forward. It's Titled "How to Turn a Small Fortune in China Into a Small Fortune Somewhere Else." And I'll
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	MR. MARTLAND: Q Page 2, in the table that we see and maybe we can zoom in a little bit there. But you can see in the left-hand side there's a number of different methods that are put forward. It's Titled "How to Turn a Small Fortune in China Into a Small Fortune Somewhere Else." And I'll just do this very quickly, but you see reference
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>MR. MARTLAND: Q Page 2, in the table that we see and maybe we can zoom in a little bit there. But you can see in the left-hand side there's a number of different methods that are put forward. It's Titled "How to Turn a Small Fortune in China Into a Small Fortune Somewhere Else." And I'll just do this very quickly, but you see reference to what the writer in the <i>Financial Times</i></pre>

1 'matchmaking' services." 4, "over and 2 under-invoicing imports/exports." 5, "outbound direct investment by corporates." 6, "step 3 4 transactions within holy owned groups." 7, 5 "fake purchases with UnionPay cards and refunds for cash." 8, "Buy USD insurance policies." 9, 6 "Macau junkets." 10, the "purchase of luxury 7 goods as a store of value." If we go down the 8 list a little bit. Bitcoin transfers, PayPal 9 10 transfers. Those are all being identified as 11 sort of this in cheat sheet as to how to move 12 money around, alternatives that are put on 13 offer. 14 Why don't I turn to -- I'll just sort of 15 carry on in marching my way through these 16 documents. If we could please go to the Keith

Bradsher article next. This is the New York *Times* reporter Keith Bradsher, November 2016.
You're familiar with this article called
"Chinese Tightens Control on Overseas Use of Its
Currency"?

22 A Yes.

MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, if this might be
 marked 645, please.

25 THE COMMISSIONER: Very well.

1 THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 645. 2 EXHIBIT 645: China Tightens Controls on 3 Overseas Use of Its Currency - The New York 4 Times - by Keith Bradsher - Nov 29, 2016 5 MR. MARTLAND: And just to continue to cover the ground here 6 0 because some of this gives us a useful 7 8 understanding of the timeline of things. And 9 how it connects back to things that we are going to be asking you about in this province. 10 11 The first article I'd gone to was from 12 March 2016 talking about imminent or anticipated 13 restrictions. We then see this title "Chinese 14 Tightens Controls Over Overseas Use of Its 15 Currency." And the lead on the story is: 16 "As an exodus of money adds to the 17 pressure on a slowing economy, regulators 18 are trying to put the brakes on overseas 19 use of China's currency by increasing the 20 scrutiny of certain overseas deals. The decision to restrict overseas use 21 22 of the renminbi represents a setback in 23 China's long-term drive to turn the 24 currency into a rival to the dollar and 25 euro in the global marketplace."

1 And I won't read from it, but that paper -- that 2 article, rather, describes a number of the 3 measures that are being implemented late in 4 2016. 5 Next if we could please go to another Financial Times article, this one by Gabriel 6 7 Wildau. And I'll just pause to have you 8 identify that as an article you are familiar 9 with. "Chinese Foreign Property Investment At a 4-Year Low Amid Clampdown." The article date is 10 11 November 2017. 12 А Yes. 13 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, if that could be 14 marked, please, as exhibit 646. 15 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, very well. THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 646. 16 17 EXHIBIT 646: Chinese Foreign Property 18 Investment At 4-Year Low Amid Clampdown -19 Financial Times, by Gabriel Wildau -20 November 22, 2017 21 MR. MARTLAND: And the reference there, if we look down under 22 0 23 the picture of the Waldorf Astoria, I'm relying 24 not on personal knowledge but on the headline 25 header to the picture there.

1 "Chinese investment in foreign real estate 2 hit its lowest in more than four years in 3 the third quarter, highlighting how 4 tighter capital controls are reshaping 5 global asset markets. 6 Chinese insurers, banks and private-equity groups have emerged in 7 8 recent years as among the most important 9 bidders for prime office buildings and 10 luxury hotels in London, New York, Sydney 11 and other major cities. 12 But fears over capital flight and 13 currency depreciation prompted Beijing to 14 clamp down on a broad range of foreign deal making late last year." 15 So hopefully that's a little bit of a quick wake 16 17 to situate ourselves in time in terms of some of 18 these restrictions to the flow of currency and 19 capital out of China. 20 What I'd like to do with that, as a fairly 21 long preamble to the question, I suppose, is 22 turn to you and ask you to comment on the impact 23 that that had, I suppose generally but in 24 particular in the Lower Mainland, to your observation. 25

1 I think one of the reasons why I'm kind of А 2 familiar with some of this, the media, is 3 precisely because it was the last five years 4 and, you know, a number of things obviously, 5 again from a perspective of migration and the movement of people as well as the movement of 6 7 capital, you know, have occurred in the last 8 five years. And I think there's two things that I would observe about these stories. 9 10 One is many of them are -- I guess you would

11 say factually true. They are describing in 12 reportage, you know, some things that are 13 happening. There are the restrictions on 14 capital being made as part of policies, 15 decisions by the Chinese government, it has 16 effects on people in China who have money and 17 the decisions they're making as to where to put 18 money into safe investments. These are the 19 kinds of decisions that are based again on 20 policy and how it affects what you can and 21 cannot do.

22 So in that sense they seem relatively 23 straightforward, I think as people read them. 24 The first piece, though, I would point out is, 25 one, that the tone is interesting because it's 1 written in this satirical way.

2 Q Yeah.

3 А Based on yes, if you're a rich person in China, 4 here is what you can do to kind of go protect 5 your money. Now, obviously the first question is why is this funny, and who would think it's 6 7 funny. Not just the writer. The writer 8 obviously thinks it's funny. But why in writing this do they think it's -- you know, it is 9 10 something that is satirical and should elicit 11 humour. And I think that's a kind of revealing 12 way to understand, you know, what are the things 13 that we find disturbing and humourous and to be 14 made fun of or mocked.

15 If this was mocking, again, Israeli money, 16 let's say, because there's some kinds of 17 restrictions in Israel, or German money or 18 British -- you know, British outflows, you know, 19 the last article is one that's a straightforward 20 seeming reportage about capital restrictions. 21 It could be any country. China. How it 22 affects, again, markets, and things like that. 23 It's like -- it's business --

24 Q Yes.

25 A The satirical piece. Why is this funny? Who

1 does that writer thinks will think it's funny? 2 And, again, I don't have all the answers. I'm 3 not in that person's head. But that is a kind 4 of guestion to think of what it is that's taboo. 5 Often humour revolves around the breaking of something that is not taboo, that is something 6 that we shouldn't do or some group of people 7 8 that we shouldn't be making fun of but we're 9 making fun of.

So I would sort of go to the question of 10 11 what is going on in the last five years that 12 lead us again to very visibly see wealthy 13 Chinese, make fun of wealthy Chinese, resent 14 wealthy Chinese to begin to actually have 15 emotional reactions to wealthy Chinese. And I 16 say this to differentiate it from, I may be a 17 person who wants to make fun of rich people just 18 in general. I resent people with wealth, and so 19 I'm just going to make fun of rich people in 20 general. That's one thing.

21 Q Right.

A But what's so funny and what's so emotionally, you know, titillating about rich Chinese. And I think that is something that's a very relevant question over the last five years, going back to 1 our visibility/invisibility. What is leading us 2 to joke and to mock and tease and to find some 3 level of resentment against people who are 4 Chinese with money. That we need to make fun of 5 them somehow because we've - - is there some level of we don't believe it's legitimate that 6 7 they have money or that they -- we don't like 8 that they have money or we don't like the power 9 that that money brings them.

10 We don't mind a Hollywood producer, you 11 know, to come here and to buy a \$37 million home 12 because they're a Hollywood producer and somehow 13 they deserve it because we like their movies. 14 But 37 million for someone who made it rich 15 who's clearly nouveau riche who just made their 16 money from China and China is rising as a global 17 power, and it all becomes mixed up to, I don't 18 like it and I am going enjoy reading an article 19 making fun of those people.

20 MR. MARTLAND: Yeah. Maybe, Madam Registrar, I could 21 ask you to please bring up that exhibit 644. 22 Q Just because I want to have the right one on 23 display as I ask you one or two questions. Some 24 part of the article seems to be a bit factual in 25 saying look, here is that table I went through,

1		these are identified as potentially methods of
2		moving money.
3	А	Yeah.
4	Q	But under the first this is the Keohane
5		article. There we are?
6	MR.	MARTLAND: If we look at the very top please,
7		Madam Registrar, the start of the article on
8		page 1.
9	Q	You see there that does seem to be an attempt at
10		humour or something glib to say "you're a
11		rich" capital P "Party." That's the
12		that's referring to some sort of conception of
13		the communist party.
14	A	Yeah.
15	Q	Go-getter. Chinese mainland. An eye on how
16		much the currency may fall.
17	А	Yeah.
18	Q	That's what you're referring to in terms of the
19		tone that you say is distinctive about this?
20	А	Yeah. And, again, I think that has to do with
21		the context of lots of news stories about the
22		illegitimacy of the nouveau riche of China and
23		particularly I think this what in fact the
24		Chinese government itself was nominally saying
25		they were going they were trying to fight,

which is corrupt party officials and corruption and how people in power in China were now a target of anti-corruption campaigns. And so the party -- you know, again, the reference to rich party official is in some sense resonating with what at that time Xi Jinping was -- you know, political campaigns

8 that were legal campaigns to target graft and 9 corruption within, again, the Chinese state but 10 also within its economy.

11 So now, again, why is this funny and who 12 reading this will find it funny. Who will find 13 it actually maddening. I think there's a lot of 14 people who in China itself who -- you know, why 15 those campaigns existed is a lot of people were 16 righteously furious about how people in power 17 could use that power to make money in ways that 18 were considered there illegitimate and wrong. 19 So I -- but, again, is that funny or is that 20 some -- you know, where are we here in 21 British Columbia in terms of thinking about our 22 position about that.

Now, it's one thing to read a newspaper
article or a piece and say oh yes, that's funny;
yeah, I think it it's wrong that people new to

1 money, you know, how did they gain it; do we 2 have moral judgments about how people make 3 money. You know, we can judge every one of our 4 neighbours. Money is a funny thing. Making 5 money and whether it's clean or you feel that the way a neighbour -- is it legitimate or 6 7 illegitimate how they've made their money. And, you know, that's perhaps a different question 8 9 within a place like British Columbia, but certainly it's been, you know -- and I'll use 10 11 the term. It's racialized in the ways that 12 we've talked about before about who we judge to 13 have made money in legitimate ways and are we 14 actually also applying that same standard to 15 everyone.

16 You know, again, I don't mind if you just resent rich people. From a historical point of 17 18 view, if you don't like rich people, then be 19 equal opportunity and make fun of and be 20 resentful and pass laws and policy that treat 21 wealth in some sense in a colour-blind manner. 22 It sounds funny to say, but if you don't like 23 money that is illegitimate, then go after money 24 that's illegitimate across the board. If you 25 don't like speculation in real estate, then pass
1a speculation tax that taxes all speculation.2If you don't like people buying luxury goods and3somehow you resent the ability of someone to pay4for a \$250,000 Lamborghini, then tax luxury5goods.

6 But when you start to target people because 7 it feels wrong that that set of people is making 8 money and therefore we're going target their 9 ability to make money, move money, spend money, then I think you're on in some sense uncertain 10 11 ground both within a place like Canada that has 12 constitutional protections for arbitrarily 13 targeting a set of people and also within this 14 longer history of British Columbia where who we 15 think visibly is doing something we don't like 16 and that we blame for something that's a broader 17 ill.

18 So I'll use the -- at this time precisely in 19 the 2015 to 2018 period is when we were --20 there's lots of public discourse about housing 21 affordability.

22 Q Yeah.

A About whether housing prices are too high.
Whether -- now, to me the basic question is is
housing unaffordable because we treat housing as

1 a commodity. We trade it like corn, you know, 2 is traded on the Chicago stock Exchange. In 3 other words, we are betting on futures, we're 4 betting on the price going up and we're 5 investing using credit and leveraging, you know, putting 20 percent down in order to buy a place 6 and then betting, in essence speculating, that 7 8 it's going to go up so that we never really have to pay off the whole value of the condo. 9

So are we treating housing as a commodity 10 11 and therefore it's perfectly understandable why 12 the prices go up. They're a speculative 13 commodity and that's why it's unaffordable. Or 14 are we saying it's unaffordable and it's the 15 fault of the Chinese. And just as with -- in 16 the 1980s with blaming Hong Kong Chinese you're 17 blaming one segment of a market for the 18 consequences of the whole market being a 19 speculative real estate market.

20 And I think that's where -- I know this 21 seems disconnected to this set of articles but 22 it's not actually because these sets of articles 23 are coming out at a time when things are going 24 on in China in terms of policy, restrictions, 25 anti-corruption campaigns, restrictions of

1 capital outflows because the Chinese state is 2 both -- and here is where I do know enough about 3 this as an area of expertise because as a 4 migration expert I was -- you know, one of the 5 things that interested me about this moment was precisely how these government campaigns were 6 actually having effects on migration. Migration 7 8 of people, as I said, as well as the migration 9 of capital. Sometimes they're tied together, 10 sometimes they're not.

11 And so that's why thinking about this 12 moment, you know, as an area of my own research 13 expertise that this is tied together. So our 14 public discourse about housing affordability is 15 tied intimately, you could say, within a larger 16 media public discourse to articles like this. 17 And so I read them together, I would say, is 18 the -- you know, in a strange way -- of course 19 we read the newspapers. If you -- you know, in 20 an old school way, if you read the whole 21 newspaper you're reading the business section at 22 the same time you're reading the front 23 headlines. But I think in some sense as a 24 scholar it's important to read how people are 25 talking about China, how people are talking

1 about the Chinese problem in housing 2 affordability here within this same context. 3 MR. MARTLAND: Yes. Madam Registrar, I don't think 4 we need that paper displayed anymore. 5 I'm going to suggest, Mr. Commissioner, this might be a useful point for a 15-minute break. 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Mr. Martland. 7 8 We'll take 15 minutes. THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned for a 9 15-minute recess until 11:13 a.m. Please mute 10 11 your mic and turn off your video. 12 (WITNESS STOOD DOWN) 13 (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 10:58 A.M.) 14 (PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 11:12 A.M.) 15 THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing 16 is resumed, Mr. Commissioner. 17 HENRY YU, for the 18 commission, recalled. 19 20 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Madam Registrar. 21 Yes, Mr. Martland. 22 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. EXAMINATION BY MR. MARTLAND (continuing): 23 24 Earlier today, Professor, you made reference to Q 25 the Premier having made some comments yesterday.

1 I don't know if I read the same news article or 2 maybe didn't read all of what the comments were, in any event. But I also know there was some 3 4 media coverage that related to the Vancouver 5 Police Department referring to a very disturbing rise in public violence against in particular 6 7 East Asian people. I gather that's more often women than men. And an increase in the range 8 from a dozen incidents in 2019 about a hundred 9 incidents in the city of Vancouver in 2020 10 11 coinciding with the pandemic.

12 So I'm sort of picking up on that comment 13 that you made as a bit of a seque into asking 14 you this question. Some of that would appear to 15 be examples of pretty blatant outright racism 16 and violence against people because of their 17 ethnic identity, but I take it to be the case 18 that there's a whole layer to discrimination or 19 racist thinking that may be quite invisible and 20 perhaps -- even if we are dealing with questions 21 of unconscious bias perhaps even unknown to the 22 person who may be well-meaning and yet unaware 23 that buried into their thinking and their 24 approach they have drawn distinctions or 25 categorized in a way that isn't necessarily

1 deliberate and yet is really driven by

2 discriminatory thinking.

3 So I'd like to -- that's not a very well put 4 question. But I'd like to have you comment on 5 that distinction and then connect it to the comments you've been discussing thus far today. 6 7 А Yeah. Yeah, just to follow your question and 8 your connection, I think, of current events. 9 And again, now, just as a quick caveat, I'm a historian. I look backwards obviously and study 10 11 the past, and yet in a certain way, you know, 12 everything that happened yesterday and beyond is 13 the past. So it's yesterday's news article. 14 And we -- you know, you may -- we may think of 15 is as a contemporary news event, you know, but 16 just to be clear, it happened, it's yesterday, so yesterday is the past, and now it's part of 17 18 the purview.

And in particular I think the context that you mentioned, which is how do we understand, you know, basically beginning in March of last year as the global pandemic hit, why the -- you know, this has obviously been -- we're all -you know, we're on Zoom because this has reshaped everyone's lives. And so there's obviously a lot of stress, a lot of -- it is a
 crisis and it seems to be a long impact and I'm
 just stating the obvious.

4 But why -- when things are in crisis mode, 5 who do we blame and who do we very quickly go to as the problem. Now, I'm using the "we" in a 6 bit of a loose manner obviously because we --7 you know, if we're thinking about that doesn't 8 9 mean that any of us are going around beating up 10 90-year-old men that we think are Chinese. But why is it that -- as we think about the housing 11 12 unaffordability crisis, you could say, or the 13 sense that the housing prices are too high both 14 now and in the 1908s and 90s, why, if you go, 15 you know, again, a hundred years back, you have 16 the idea that the Chinese are again a threat, you know, morally. A threat to the way of life. 17

18 Why, again, in 1942 that, you know, the fact 19 that we are at war with Japan doesn't mean we 20 have to go and round up everyone who's Japanese 21 Canadian, you know, who looks Japanese, and not 22 only do we need to move them but we need to sell 23 all their property. The United States for all 24 the problems, they didn't sell Japanese 25 Americans' property. They in fact started to

1allow Japanese Americans out of camps by late21942. We kept Japanese Canadians off the West3Coast longer after the war than we did during4war. We did not allow Japanese Canadians back5to the West Coast until 1949 and only after we6had liquidated most of the property owned, land7as well as boats and other things.

So I raise this as a way of understanding in 8 9 the broader long-term context of blame, of the visibility argument that I think, you know, we 10 11 were making earlier is also one in which the 12 visibility of certain set of people as the party 13 to blame when we have in fact complex causality, 14 let's call it. There's lots of things going on 15 and yet we are going to go straight to this set 16 of people as the problem. That is a legacy of 17 that long history of white supremacy. That is a 18 legacy of the visibility of certain sets of 19 people as a problem, and when there are problems 20 that it feels much more normal and easier to 21 think of them as a problem and talk about it --22 them as a problem. And so part of when you 23 showed newspaper articles, what often -- op-ed 24 pieces and newspaper articles, you can actually 25 track them.

1 With Google now it's actually quite easy. 2 You know, I don't want to say it is easy to be a 3 scholar, but it is easy to track newspapers 4 articles because Google does it for you. There 5 you're tracking most of the electronic traffic and you can use keywords and you can actually 6 create a table and a chart that shows from 7 2015 -- basically actually it's 2013, 2014, 8 9 onwards the increasing number of newspaper articles and other -- multimedia also, other 10 media forms. And this not even talking about 11 12 social media, by the way, but just the kind of 13 things that show up in formal media or legacy 14 media, we often call it, the old school kind of 15 media formats, of people talking increasingly --16 you know, certain writers appear again and again 17 and again, but you start to get a lot of 18 discussion of foreign, foreigners, Chinese.

19And so in that context, the articles that20you showed before the break, are within a rising21discourse in that period of the Chinese as a22problem for when we're thinking about money and23the negative effects of money and investment.24Real estate is one, but not the only one. Real25estate occurs again and again and housing

unaffordability occurs again and you but you
could also see money itself is a problem, that
rich Chinese are a problem. There's a lot of
mocking of rich Chinese. There's a lot of
stories about just their being rich and how
inappropriate it is and their behaviour is
inappropriate.

8 Now, again don't get me wrong, I think it is -- you know, and this is a personal 9 disclosure. If there's an unconscious bias I 10 11 have is that yeah, I don't think the L learners 12 limit -- or learners licence on a \$250,000 13 sports car, I think there's something wrong 14 and -- I think there's something wrong about 15 that. But to me it's about, you know, someone 16 who doesn't yet know how to drive and has a 17 learners permit driving a \$250,000 car that can 18 go 300 miles per hour. But if we say that it's 19 Chinese that are the problem, then that's a 20 different territory.

And so I think that is one of the things that I would just point out about the last five years of history is that there are ways in which we talk about money and Chinese money that is resonant with a long history, the history we've described earlier, and that in looking to
 see some of those resonances or those echoes or
 those connections I think you -- it's another - again, it's a heuristic device.

5 A way of sort of saying, let's take a step back and check. We are talking about particular 6 7 forms of money investment. Would we be as outraged if we swapped in Israeli, British, 8 9 German, American. Every time you see the word 10 "Chinese," would we be as outraged if you 11 swapped in another group that we don't mind, you 12 know, invisibly okay group. That's one 13 heuristic device and that gets to what -- I know 14 some people call it unconscious bias versus 15 conscious. You know, whether it's conscious or 16 not, you know, the way to perhaps make it 17 conscious and to make it apparent is to use 18 little heuristic devices of, let's swap in this 19 word. Let's swap in identifiers or identity 20 markers that are national or racial or ethnic. 21 Let's swap it and see what happens when we do 22 that.

23 Now, that's not going to give you right away 24 a surfacing of that kind of legacy that I'm 25 talking about, but it's one way as a first sort

1 of tool. I think another way is -- I'm sorry, 2 and just to finish that thought. Would we mind 3 someone doing it if -- you know, if someone else 4 that we liked did it. I think that's one of the 5 checks on this process. The other is what is it that we see as clean and dirty. And, you know, 6 phrases like "money laundering" or "illicit," 7 8 "corrupt," these are, again, moral evaluators. We are making a judgment about the cleanliness 9 10 of money.

11 I'll give you an example. I don't know if 12 any of you dislike Rhodes scholars or think that 13 Rhodes scholars are bunk or idiots or think that 14 they're bad people. I doubt it. I hope that 15 none of you do. But let's just take the example 16 of Rhodes scholars. Rhodes scholars are -- we 17 elevate Rhodes scholars. We say -- if someone 18 is a Rhodes scholar we say, so and so, Rhodes 19 scholar. And we mean that that means that 20 they're smart, you know, natural leaders. 21 Well-rounded people. People to be valorized. 22 So I don't know if many of you know the history 23 of Cecil Rhodes who endowed this Rhodes 24 scholarship. But in the context of Rhodesia and 25 our use of white supremacy in colonial

acquisition of other people's stuff, let's call
 it, he was a pretty nasty guy, using that moral
 language.

4 So is the money that Cecil Rhodes made dirty 5 and therefore is the Rhodes scholarship money laundering? Is it a way of valorizing, 6 7 cleansing that money so that now that we give it out as scholarships under his name, the money is 8 fungible. It could have been raised and created 9 10 in a certain way. Now it's endowments and, you 11 know -- that we now are giving Rhodes scholars 12 away -- or Rhodes scholarships away. And there 13 are Rhodes scholars who are valorized. Is this 14 money laundering? Would you consider Rhodes 15 scholarships money laundering?

16 Now, some people actually, yeah, they 17 probably do think that the origin of the 18 money -- and even though it's money is fungible 19 and it can be converted into other things that 20 somehow the process of conversion of the money 21 that Cecil Rhodes made in blood diamonds in 22 Rhodesia or whatever you want to say, the 23 origins of money, it's dirtiness and processes 24 of cleansing it. I think those are the kinds of 25 things also that are pertinent to think about

1 the last five years is our concern with the 2 origins of money here.

3 Again, I'm not myself having a personal 4 opinion about whether money that is corruptly made, you know, somehow we shouldn't touch it or 5 shouldn't allow it in here. I'm just pointing 6 7 out that the newspaper media discourse about the 8 origins of money in China is something to note, 9 and whether we are applying the same scrutiny to the origin of money made everywhere else or all 10 11 our own money. You know, how far are we going 12 to go to scrutinize the origins of money in the 13 processes of cleansing it.

14 Now, I don't have an answer to that. I'm 15 not the person to ask about that. But I am pointing out that we do seem to have an 16 17 excessive concern over the last five years with 18 the origins of Chinese money and its effects and 19 its use. And I say that, "excessive," in the 20 context of our earlier discussions about 21 excessive attention to money in real estate from 22 Hong Kong Chinese in the 80s and 90s and the 23 visibility as excessive.

Q So to pick up on that and in particular your
comments about money laundering, I wonder if you

1 could comment on -- do you have an observation 2 as to whether the sort of tag or taint of 3 labelling something as -- or identifying 4 something as money laundering to your 5 observation does that in the public -- some of the public discourse connected to the either 6 7 Chinese citizens or those of Chinese ethnicity more often than other groups? Has that been a 8 9 predisposition that you have observed? 10 А I would say that the number of stories, as I was 11 just mentioning before, is clearly one where 12 there's a volume to the number of stories that 13 discuss Chinese money as foreign money and 14 things like that, especially in particular 15 markets like housing. So there's a volume 16 argument there, but, again, volume itself is not 17 an argument for something strange going on. You know, there's lots of stories about actual 18 19 current events that there should be a volume to 20 them because they are important significant 21 events, and so you'll see a rise in the volume. 22 What I would point out, however, is that is

23 that volume tied -- what is it tied to? Is it 24 tied, for instance, to, as the New York Times 25 article that you showed, there are now capital

1 controls in terms of the flow of capital. 2 There's now a policy in China, so to speak, in 3 2016, you know, in that period, where the 4 Chinese government is trying to restrict the 5 investment of money by Chinese citizens outside of China. And there are various motivations 6 7 policy-wise why they want to restrict money 8 going out, but that is -- you know, there's a 9 volume of stories about that. Okay. We can 10 understand why because there's a change in 11 policy and it's going to affect things.

12 But in terms of the consequences, for 13 instance, of Chinese money on real estate, how does that affect British Columbia's local real 14 15 estate economy. Well, if it's a business 16 section article about, look, the amounts of 17 money coming from China, they account for X 18 proportion of our overall real estate 19 investments, overall in the market, those are all kinds of stories that are interesting from a 20 21 business and real estate point of view. But 22 there are also a lot of articles that are 23 occurring at that time over the last five years 24 about the legitimacy of the money, whether all 25 money that is seen to be Chinese is actually

1 from China.

2 So I used the example before a break of if 3 I'm sitting there looking at a place, is someone 4 seeing me and are they assuming that I'm a 5 mainland Chinese party official? You know, the topic of mockery of corrupt party officials; how 6 7 do you move your money out. In other words, the 8 visibility -- this is where we use terms like 9 racism is every time you see someone with black 10 hair that looks like me doing something that is, 11 quote, a legitimate practice, buying or selling 12 a home, are we seeing it as illegitimate or 13 somehow a problem. Because we are telling 14 stories at a great volume about capital controls 15 in China and whether there's more or less money 16 coming from China into our local real estate market and the implication, I think, being 17 18 there's something we don't like about money 19 coming from China.

There's something we don't like about the origin of money that's made in China that we are using a storytelling method of saying party officials are corrupt; money made in China is corrupt; therefore money coming from China -there's a series of syllogisms. If A, then B,

1 then C. And, you know, in some sense we're 2 shortcutting through those logical, seemingly 3 rational equivalences, and tagging all money 4 that seems Chinese as somehow illegitimate. And 5 I think that's where that volume of stories, the kind of outcry, that sense of almost moral panic 6 7 that really does, I think, shape not all stories but shapes the volume itself. 8

9 What is our interest in the visibility of 10 this money? And I think that would be my way of 11 saying, yeah, if you look at it, take a step 12 back and you look at the patterns of the media 13 discourse, you know, there is something to be 14 analyzed in terms of what is the rising interest 15 an indication of.

16 Q Yeah. And I wonder, to develop that, to sort of 17 put it through the prism of what the reality is 18 in terms of this, it might be useful --

19 MR. MARTLAND: Madam Registrar, if I can ask you to 20 please look at and bring up exhibit 602, the 21 overview report on Lower Mainland housing 22 prices. In particular exhibit N, which is some 23 slides that were prepared by the BC Real Estate 24 Association.

25

And you can see these -- what I'll do here,

1 I'll walk through a little bit of this and then 2 ask the question. 3 If we could go to the third page, please, of 4 this. One page down from there, please. There 5 we are. "The Speculation Tax in Perspective." If you have a look at the left side of that 6 0 7 page, please, Dr. Yu, that would be help. 8 "Putting the speculation tax in 9 perspective. The overwhelming majority of 10 households in BC are residents who occupy 11 their homes. Another significant share of 12 owners are residents and non-residents who 13 rent their units." 14 So I guess investors. Property owners. 15 "A very small share of total households, 16 less than .5%, are non-resident owners who 17 leave their units vacant or households 18 whose primary breadwinner earns more than 19 50 percent of household income outside of 20 BC, so-called satellite families." 21 AND we then see that graph that -- the bar graph 22 that displays that sort of in proportion that 23 really sort of underlines how small a proportion 24 it is that fall into that last category, 25 non-resident, vacant or satellite family.

1 Just to carry on with it on the next page, 2 please, back to about foreign buyers back on the 3 left side we see: 4 "Foreign buyers accounted for 3.3% of all provincial residential transactions in 5 2018. 3.6% in Metro Vancouver in 2017 6 7 prior to the increase and expansion of the 8 foreign buyer tax in February of 2018. 9 The share of foreign transactions declined to 2.4% in BC and 3% in Metro Vancouver in 10 2018." 11 12 The authors say: 13 "That decline was primarily the result of 14 a continued trend of falling foreign 15 transactions since the original foreign 16 buyers tax implemented in 2016 and the 17 imposition of more strict capital controls 18 by the Chinese government in 2017." 19 So I wonder, having sort of put those figures 20 out there and without trying to drill down here 21 or test the veracity or accuracy of all of those 22 numbers, but do you have a reaction as to 23 whether there's a disconnect between what those 24 kinds of figures seem to tell us and then what 25 you have to say about public and media discourse Henry Yu (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Martland

1 in the area. 2 А Yes. Before I let you answer, I'll just maybe ask --3 0 4 MR. MARTLAND: Madam Registrar, we don't, I think, 5 need the document displayed further now that I've done that. 6 7 THE WITNESS: Thanks. Yeah, I mean, I'd be happy to. 8 And one of the reasons why is, again, for me 9 this is something that, you know, has been a subject of scrutiny for myself, which is 10 11 scrutinizing -- going back to what we were just 12 talking about before you showed the tables. The 13 volume of discussion, does that proportionally match with the volume of actual investment or 14 15 the proportion -- you know, the numbers you 16 showed. Again, I will leave it to my colleagues 17 over in Sauder, who I've had many discussions 18 with, by the way. You know, we do -- before 19 COVID we did talk about things like this. And I 20 think one of the things that was always a 21 subject of interest in discussions with 22 colleagues who are economists and who are in the 23 business school is, yeah, what is the importance 24 of 5 percent, 4 percent, 3 percent. What is the 25 difference between 3.4 percent and 3.1 percent

1 as -- from an economics point of view impact on 2 the overall market.

3 And so one of the questions that I think a 4 lot of research went into was if that small 5 difference between, say -- I think it was 3.4 versus 3.1, say, from one year to the other, you 6 7 know, does a .3 percent decline actually reshape overall prices. You know, and some people will 8 make arguments, quite intelligent arguments, 9 that well, yea, it does have some effect. 10 11 There's some delta, difference, that is -- could 12 be attributed causally to that shift, but on the 13 whole the overall market is not being reshaped 14 by these proportions of change. I think that we 15 can agree.

16 And therefore from -- again, to answer the question of what is it that is remarkable is 17 18 that these small, small changes that may or may 19 not have, you know, financial impact in terms of 20 the prices going up, again proportionately small 21 percentage of, there sure is a lot of talk about 22 that small percentage in terms of public 23 discourse, within media but also, again, in who 24 we are blaming for the overall problems 25 indicated by prices. Again, affordability

1 issues, the ability for younger generations to 2 enter into the real estate market. 3 And I think the invisibility corollary is 4 how much -- and if you look at those same 5 figures, how many people are owners of property who are renting who are British Columbia 6 7 residents who happen to not be Chinese either 8 but who bought houses a generation ago, if you 9 bought something in the early 1970s, you can get a house, you know, 10,000, 18,000, 30,000 and if 10 11 all you did was not die -- and not to make light 12 of it, but you are singular accomplishment as a 13 home buyer in early 1970s is to not die and make 14 it to 2020. And for not dying and still owning 15 that house, you know, most places in 16 metropolitan Vancouver you are now are a 17 millionaire because you own the house that is in 18 the millions. Now, a lot of that -- and you're 19 renting out because you are now older, your kids 20 have moved on, even your grandkids have moved on 21 you're renting out space that you own.

Now, I'm not trying to demonize a
residential homeowner who is renting. In fact
they're providing a lot of the affordable
housing within the city. But we are not talking

1 about that set which makes up a larger 2 proportion of our homeowners and people who are 3 renting or not renting their excess spaces than 4 speculative real estate buying by party 5 officials in China. So there's an element of the spectacular. And I'll use that term very, 6 7 very pointedly that we find spectacular, a 8 spectacle, something to tell stories about, talk about when we hear about one or two or five or 9 10 ten, some small actual number compared to the 11 total of buyers from China who are buying 12 property sight unseen. And then we repeat and 13 talk about those stories. And they do begin to 14 infect and taint our discourse about things like 15 housing affordability. About who is to blame 16 for the problems at hand.

17 And I think that is what I would respond to 18 in the data that you've shown us is, again, if 19 we were to search that data and find some other 20 set of, quote, foreign non-resident buyers that 21 are American Hollywood types, would they be just 22 as small a number and yet could be just as 23 spectacular. If a Hollywood star who is -- you 24 know, these are a handful of people. If they 25 buy an incredibly beautiful waterfront home in

1		West Vancouver, you know, for tens of millions
2		of dollars, we see that in a section which is
3		kind of like the entertainment section of
4	MR.	MARTLAND:
5	Q	Yeah, celebrity news.
6	A	Yeah, millionaire buy oh, and we're proud.
7		Oh my gosh, we have Hollywood stars who are
8		living in these
9	Q	It's flattering that Goldie Hawn lives here.
10	A	Exactly. I just ran into Kurt Russell and
11		Goldie Hawn on Georgia Street walking, you know,
12		and they own places here that are tens of
13		millions of dollars. Isn't that wonderful.
14		So I think, again, I would put it in that
15		context of what is spectacular, what is
16		spectacularly negative and what is spectacularly
17		in another way that do we actually celebrate
18		somehow as a form of the celebrity of being
19		Hollywood North.
20	Q	You'll be relieved to hear I'll nearing the
21		conclusion of my questions, Professor. I wanted
22		to ask you this.
23	А	Yeah.
24	Q	Are there specific questions in your view that
25		one should ask oneselves in analyzing the

1		literature dealing with the role of foreign
2		investment and housing prices in BC or when
3		thinking about these questions and issues about
4		the distinction between capital movement,
5		capital flight, sometimes it's called, and money
6		laundering?
7	A	I think one of the things obviously
8		there's we've talked about a lot of things
9		and I'm sure I've talked too much. Please take
10		that as my apology for being a professor. We
11		get paid to talk. So if
12	Q	Well, they say that of lawyers too, so I'm not
13		going to throw that stone.
14	A	We're all guilty of that prolixity. But if
15		we if there's one thing I'd say, just
16		pointing back to, you know, some of the key
17		things that I'd say are interesting perhaps for
18		the commission and for the Commissioner to see
19		is just the visibility of certain forms of
20		capital and certain types of people and why is
21		it that we see them as visible. And then to tie
22		it to that term of "visibility minority" and the
23		history of that.
24		And I think whether the terms we use are
25		also you know, obviously these are

1 politically loaded terms, and there's a reason. 2 We are using terms like "money laundering," we 3 are using terms like "capital flight" in a 4 particular context where, you know, we don't 5 like something that is happening. There's some consequence that's often implied by the movement 6 of capital here. So if it's housing 7 8 affordability then -- you know, then that is often implied in stories and implied in sort of 9 10 the outcry.

11 I would also say that in some sense what is 12 very interesting to me is that conception -- and 13 although it may seem philosophical and abstract 14 between clean and dirty money, when I use the 15 example of Cecil Rhodes, it was again to point 16 out that those are often political choices about 17 who -- you know, what are we tolerating as in 18 again, a market economy, you know, what are 19 legitimate. So, for instance, what is corrupt 20 and what is legitimate.

Are we thinking geez we don't want any Italian money because in Italy there's lot of corruption. People have to bribe people and pay off others so therefore if there's any money coming from Italy, are we sure it's clean?

1 Should we be having capital restrictions on 2 Italian money because of what he think of Italy 3 and the Italian economy and whether money made 4 in Italy is clean money or whether someone in 5 order even to open a business and get a licence, they needed to bribe an official. Is that 6 bribery of an official, an Italian official in 7 order to just get -- do something that perhaps 8 here in Canada is done for a fee and it's 9 straightforward, everybody's treated the same. 10 11 That's a corrupt system.

12 If we are going to be evaluating the 13 corruption of economies all around the world and 14 whether we would like to have money flow from 15 those places, that's going to be a gargantuan 16 task and that's going to be one that perhaps is 17 not the best use of our resources. And here I'm 18 getting into obviously an opinion that's a 19 citizen's opinion versus -- but I raise this as 20 if we're going to pinpoint, you know, and spend 21 time like this commission is on looking at 22 problems, I think it is important to ask 23 ourselves what is considered a problem. Are we 24 thinking of money laundering as a problem worth 25 our investment and time and resources. Are we

1 doing this in a way that if we swapped in --2 that heuristic device of swapped in another 3 country. I mentioned Italy. 4 If we're going to get into investigate the 5 clean origins of money, we're going to be in a different business, then I think we as 6 7 professionals, you as lawyers, me as historians. 8 I'm not sure I want to spend the rest of my 9 career looking into the clean origins of capital that comes here. But if we are going to then do 10 11 it, then actually do it. Then don't pinpoint 12 one set of people. And that is perhaps the tie 13 to the argument I -- the overall argument I 14 would make in response to your question. We've 15 been doing that for 150 years. We've been 16 spending our resources pinpointing the blame on broader problems. 17

18 And I'll put it another way. If you want to look at real estate in particular, and you asked 19 20 this question of me. This is a speculative real 21 estate economy Metro Vancouver. It's been one 22 since day one. The CPR chose to end their route 23 here because of the possibility of a speculative 24 real estate economy that would lead them to make 25 actually money in places like Marathon Realty.

Do we consider Marathon Realty, which was the real estate arm of the CPR -- is that dirty money? The land that was acquired is unceded territory. We are -- again, if you are a real estate -- you know, brokering real estate transactions, we're brokering stolen stereos, to use that earlier story.

Now, if we really want to start digging into 8 9 the more origins of that, then perhaps we should welcome that but perhaps we should start at 10 11 home. Perhaps we should start to think about a 12 speculative real estate economy is designed to 13 make money from the trading of land as a 14 commodity. This is very different from other 15 places that have different models of, you know, 16 this is fee simple property; you own it; you own 17 it outright. There's leasing models that we 18 also use. We have, you know, 99 year leases as 19 well. We have other kinds of models for land. 20 But in the end I would say that as we examine 21 the involvement of Chinese, let's say, or of 22 foreigners in our real estate market, then it 23 perhaps would behoove us to look at the real estate market itself as the source of some of 24 25 the ills.

Henry Yu (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Martland

1 It's been a speculative real estate market 2 from the beginning. It has risen in value right from the beginning and, again, from a historians 3 4 point of view, that may seem really obvious to an historian because if you look at it, you just 5 go, why are we so fixated on housing 6 7 affordability as if it's a problem only when, 8 you know, visible set of buyers seem to be 9 inordinately -- and in a way that is unwelcome to many of us, they seem to be making money from 10 11 this real estate market, and that's 12 inappropriate. They shouldn't be and therefore 13 we should stop them from making money in it. 14 But the rest of us, it's okay that we make money 15 in it.

I would say that that is -- that may seem 16 17 broad and philosophical in the context of the 18 more narrow scope of this commission, but I 19 would say it actually isn't because in order to 20 understand why we are interested in these 21 spectacular moments, why we are interested in 22 the visibility of certain people as investors or 23 people moving capital or certain forms of 24 capital that we are identifying the category 25 because of who is moving it, not the money

102 Henry Yu (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Martland Exam by Mr. Usher 1 itself. 2 As I said, if you want to get into 3 definitions of the morality of a dollar and how 4 when it's, you know, converted and it's fungible across different kind of fields of investment, 5 if we were concerned about that broadly that is 6 7 a much bigger question than the specifics of Chinese money and Chinese capital. 8 MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Yu, thank you. Mr. Commissioner, 9 10 that completes my questions. 11 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Martland. We have 12 a number of participants who wish to examine 13 Professor Yu. 14 Firstly Ms. Stratton on behalf of the 15 province, who has been allocated ten minutes. MS. STRATTON: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Having 16 17 heard the evidence today, I have no questions for the witness. 18 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Stratton. 20 Mr. Usher on behalf of the Society of 21 Notaries Public of British Columbia, who has 22 also been allocated ten minutes. 23 MR. USHER: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. 24 EXAMINATION BY MR. USHER: 25 Professor Yu, a couple of things. In term of 0

1 your historical knowledge, I take it that is --2 your expertise is in history. 3 Α Yeah. 4 Are you familiar with the debates in 1974 in Q 5 that era in BC about foreign investment in real estate? Is that a something you've looked at? 6 It is something that I am aware of. I haven't 7 А 8 done primary research to the depth of knowing 9 everything that came up. I am aware of those discussions and how they impacted again into 10 11 media discussions as well as some policy 12 discussions. But, for instance, I haven't done 13 primary historical research into the records to 14 know that exactly how, for instance, ministerial 15 discussions were going or how individual 16 political representatives, you know, issued 17 statements or didn't or had discussions. 18 So in that sense I would say as a historian

19 of, again, migration, it is something that I am 20 aware that happened, yeah. But there are 21 limits. So I may have to say I actually don't 22 know in answer to some of your questions. 23 Ο Right. And do you know if it was an ethnicity 24 associated with those concerns at the time? 25 At the time I would say -- and I would use the Α

Henry Yu (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Usher

1 term in a -- you know, use a comparative 2 analysis on this one. I think one of the 3 interesting things is at that time I would say 4 it was less explicitly racialized or tied to a 5 specific ethnic group as, say, the 1908s and 90s. So when we were discussing how explicit 6 the association of foreign investment at that 7 8 time to Hong Kong Chinese migration, I'd say that the 1970s moment, '74, '75, really that 9 moment after the reform of immigration that I 10 11 mentioned in 1967 which led to basically an 12 increasing number of people in the -- you know, 13 it's 1968 onwards into the 1970s, it is tied to 14 that.

15 So I would say that you could say that 1974 16 moment was an awareness that there was a 17 quickening, you could say, of the economy of BC. 18 It's one of the reasons why the Canadian 19 government in the 1960s contemplated immigration 20 reform was precisely because they considered 21 migration, in-migration, as a way to create more 22 activity economically. And so I think there was 23 a reaction, so to speak, and a response to what 24 was seen as an impact on real estate, on other 25 sectors of the BC economy, on the Canadian

1 economy, but it was not in some sense as -- and 2 this is a comparative analysis. It was not as 3 ethnicized. It was not specifically directed in 4 the same way that, say, the 80s, 90s moment or you could say the 2015, 2016, 2017. 5 That is a kind of interesting novelty in 6 itself that somehow in the 1970s moment it 7 8 wasn't quite as specific. And not to say, again, that there wasn't discussion of who was 9 coming. So I would say that there is an 10 awareness in the 1970s overall that there are 11 12 newer migrants who aren't the same people as who 13 came, say, in the 1950s. But I don't think 14 there's as explicit an attempt to make it a 15 causal link. 16 I guess that would be my long-winded answer.

But trying to be careful to say that it's not that the people in the 70s weren't aware that the changes in immigration were having an effect. In fact that was very much one of the reasons why there was a kind of reaction to, huh, we've changed our rules and look, look what's happening.

You see newspaper stories, in fact quite afew of them quite laudatory of the effects of

1 new migrants. I'll point to one, again, that --2 if you can find is that there's actually, I 3 believe, a McLean's cover featuring really in the mid 70s a lot of newer arrivals in Canada 4 5 and how they were having a very salutary effect on the Canadian economy. That they were 6 bringing investment, they were bringing energy, 7 as entrepreneurs. So you could say that there 8 9 was much -- there was a discourse, say, in the 10 early 70s of immigration is good and it's 11 bringing good things. 12 Now, again that's politicized to the 13 perspective of support for these policy changes, 14 and so they tended to have supported the 15 immigration policy changes that occurred in 16 1967. I don't know if that's what you're asking 17 for, but that's a bit of a context of, I think, 18 that 70s moment.

19 Q Well, you've raised something interesting. What 20 you've described is to a degree the whole 21 multicultural phenomenon --

22 A Yeah.

Q -- and the acceptance. Is that all false in
your mind, or is that all true?

25 A Oh, not at all. I think that's -- again, from a
Henry Yu (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Usher

1 historian's point of view -- I say that itself with -- from my perspective as a historian, I 2 3 guess, is a more accurate way of putting it that 4 you could think of that 1970s as, you know, this is multiculturalism as is defined at that moment 5 as an attempt to keep the country together. And 6 this is much about Quebec separatism and the 7 8 kind of -- an Anglo-French compromise that's contained within the federal Liberal party and 9 the federal liberal politics of creating 10 11 something that at first is called biculturalism 12 and bilingualism.

13 It becomes multiculturalism in the process 14 of actually accounting for -- I'll just be 15 explicit because this was a political voice -was Ukrainians in Alberta. You know, there's a 16 17 lot of politically powerful Ukrainians in 18 Alberta in that time saying, well, wait a 19 minute; this biculturalism, bilingualism thing 20 doesn't work for people like us and we are 21 Canadian too.

22 And so multiculturalism as an acceptable 23 version of who Canada is, as an inclusive 24 version of Canada, you could say that 1970s 25 moment is very interesting because it begins to

1 be very pro-immigrant. There -- that is the 2 moment that, as you said, it becomes a good 3 thing to consider Canada multicultural. It is 4 extending from, again, that -- Patricia Roy's 5 sense of a white man's province or white man's country to one which, yeah, actually it's a good 6 7 thing to bring these people in. 8 So I think that -- you're pointing to a moment, in other words, when the expansion of 9 the -- of who belongs is part of the -- both the 10 11 political as well as the media discourses. 12 0 Right. Thank you. And I take it if you -- are 13 you familiar that in '74 we brought in a 14 mandatory citizenship declaration for the 15 purchase of real estate? 16 А Yeah. And that lasted until 1998. Are you familiar 17 Q 18 with that? 19 Yes. Yeah. That is again, I think -- I would Α 20 take it again as when -- what we meant by 21 foreign -- and, you know, we were talking before 22 I think in our discussions about a sense of 23 foreignness and belonging and that long history 24 of it. I would say that particular policy that 25 you're pointing to is again -- you know, we

1		could tell a historical story about just
2		legislation in various forms of and what
3		people mean by "foreign." That in itself.
4		Yeah.
5	Q	So are you familiar with there are both
6		provincial and federal laws that prohibit
7		discrimination in terms of purchase of real
8		estate?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	Okay. Thank you. One final thing. You
11		mentioned a court case. Was that the Jing Li v.
12		British Columbia case?
13	A	Yes, the class action suit, the foreign buyers
14		tax, that was filed I think right as the foreign
15		buyers tax was
16	Q	Right. You filed an expert report on that and
17		you gave evidence?
18	А	Yes. So just full disclosure, I was asked to
19		be I was asked by the plaintiff to provide
20		expert testimony. Although expert testimony as
21		you know is just you know, we're not
22		choosing sides; we're just asked a bunch of
23		questions, then we just answer the questions.
24		Yeah.
25	Q	Right. And is it the case that the judge in

1		fact did not admit your report into evidence?
2	A	I'm going to say I'm not sure how I believe
3		that that may have happened that it wasn't
4		admitted. Again, I tend not to follow
5		everything through. I'm not the lawyer in the
6		case, so I believe I was told that it wasn't
7		formally admitted, although, you know, I did
8		testify. And again I'm not going to pretend I'm
9		a lawyer, so I am not sure what the distinctions
10		are as accepting the evidence or not and
11		whether and how that plays out.
12	Q	All right. I just if I could read from the
13		decision.
14		"While I do not doubt Professor Yu's
15		expertise in relation to history and, in
16		particular, the history of Asian and
17		Chinese migration to Canada and the United
18		States I have decided not to admit his
19		report into evidence."
20		I'm quoting from paragraph 47 of the judgment.
21	A	Yeah. And I again, I don't know what was
22		behind the decision. I don't know the
23		consequences of not admitting it to evidence.
24		Again, I was just an expert witness. I do
25		believe that reading the other parts again, I

Henry Yu (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Usher

1 will not pretend as to whether this is 2 appropriate or not or that it's perfectly 3 understandable within the decision that the 4 judge made, but I do believe that other experts' 5 testimony was admitted. And partially I think because there was a sense that my historical 6 7 expertise -- somehow history ended and therefore 8 it wasn't pertinent what I was saying too. 9 And, again, I don't want to read into the 10 judge's decision past what I'm able to. I'm not 11 a mind reader and I'm not also legally trained 12 as to the justification, but I take from the 13 language of why it was not admitted that it had

14 to do with historical evidence. And, again, I 15 mean, I've been at pains today perhaps to talk 16 about, you know, I don't see a point in time 17 where history stops. Like we are at every 18 moment a product of the history. It's not like 19 oh, 1960s is history, 1980s is history, but 20 somehow 2015 is no longer history and we are in 21 a contemporary current affairs and therefore 22 someone like me is no longer relevant.

As I said, I don't want to -- to be respectful, I don't know why it wasn't admitted and I don't know actually what that actually

1 means to not be in evidence. 2 MR. USHER: Thank you. That is all my questions, 3 Professor Yu. 4 THE WITNESS: Sure. Thank you. MR. MARTLAND: Mr. Commissioner, I didn't raise an 5 objection there. But just for the benefit of 6 7 participants our rules do require, Rule 56, five days' notice of using a document or -- and 8 9 indeed our process has been such that we've 10 asked participants to give notice where there is 11 to be examination and on what topics. And 12 Mr. Usher has been counsel since day one, as I 13 recall the sequence of our participant status. So I haven't -- it's after the fact here. 14 Ι 15 haven't raised it as an objection. But I think that was close to the line in terms of an end 16 17 run around the notice requirement. 18 I think at this point it's a fait accompli, 19 so I don't know that there's more to be said. 20 MR. USHER: With respect, Mr. Martland, 21 Mr. Commissioner, I had no intention of raising 22 this and had no idea that your witness would 23 raise the court case in his evidence. So my 24 apologies if that has offended some rule. MR. MARTLAND: Well, it's -- I'll leave it be. 25 Thank

1 you. 2 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Well, I think as you pointed, out Mr. Martland, it's a fait accompli 3 4 so we'll simply move on. But certainly participants are reminded of the rule which is 5 rooted in the need not to surprise witnesses 6 with something they haven't had a chance to 7 8 consider in advance of their evidence. But I also take Mr. Usher's point. 9 10 All right. We'll move on to Ms. Magonet for the British Columbia Civil Liberties 11 12 Association, who has been allocated 15 minutes. 13 MS. MAGONET: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. EXAMINATION BY MS. MAGONET: 14 15 Professor Yu, can you hear me? Q 16 Yes. А 17 Excellent. So my first question for you is Q 18 regarding data on foreign ownership. The 19 commission has heard some evidence from 20 economists about how it's at times been 21 difficult to obtain data on the levels of 22 foreign ownership in British Columbia. And I 23 wondered if you had any views on the potential 24 recording of citizenship information by a public 25 registry such as the Land Title Survey

Authority, whether there could be negative
consequences to that type of information being
publicly available?

4 А Let's see. I would be very restricted in the 5 kinds of expert opinions I would have in response to that. I would raise -- you know, 6 my -- I guess my answers to that would be in 7 8 particular in the history of the use of information and data and knowledge gathered by 9 10 state agencies or government agencies. And so 11 this actually came up again. And perhaps this 12 is apropos Mr. Usher's raising -- you know, I'll 13 apologize for bringing in the class action suit. 14 I raised it just as a kind of disclosure that 15 I'd been an expert witness on previous cases 16 dealing with real estate.

17 But in this case too I'll introduce 18 something as a witness that, you know, I was 19 involved just last year in the fall with the 20 BC Human Rights Commissioner raising -- or 21 having a number of hearings about, again, 22 race-based data and the collection by the 23 government of race-based data or the publication 24 of data that they already had in terms of 25 aggregation of data. What is disaggregated data

1 is the technical term. Like, we gather data in 2 ways that we don't aggregate along racial or ethnic categories. It's considered illegitimate 3 4 use of the data. 5 And so there were commission hearings by the BC Human Rights Commissioner and asked -- again, 6 7 tasked by the premier to issue a report. And so 8 some of what I would say has to do with that 9 very particular set of discussions which, again, 10 has a historical dimension because historically 11 speaking a lot of the reticence, you could say, 12 to gather certain kinds of data is because of a 13 long history of misuse of data by people in 14 power. 15 So I'll give you a very, very singular 16 example that is perhaps appropriate to our 17 discussion today. The ability of the RCMP and the Canadian government at all levels --18 19 municipal, provincial and federal -- in the 20 decades before 1942 being able to track Japanese 21 Canadians -- who was Japanese, who owned 22 property -- being able to get addresses, for 23 instance, of knowing where people lived, that 24 made the very rapid round up of Japanese

25 Canadians in March 1942 possible.

1 And so the use of racialized data for much 2 of our history when the government is basically 3 pursuing end goals around white supremacy, that 4 data can be very dangerous, you know, to 5 citizens because it's going to be used for ends such as rounding people up and then liquidating 6 their property. So I give that example not to 7 8 say we shouldn't be using race-based or ethnic-based categories. It's just that there's 9 a historical reticence that really arose in the 10 1970s and 80s as offices such as the BC Human 11 12 Rights Commissioner came into being and that was 13 about protection of privacy, protection of data that could be misused. 14

And so I think some of the current debates 15 16 about the aggregation of data along racial 17 categories stem from a long history of I think 18 very legitimate debates about for what purpose 19 can data be gathered for one purpose be misused. 20 Because once it's created, once data is created, 21 it's -- it can be used for other purposes and 22 therefore what kinds of protections for data 23 that is gathered. And so that would be my way 24 of answering your framing of a question about 25 gathering data from real estate records or

citizenship records and tying it and aggregating
data.

In other words, you have categories such as citizenship and then you have categories of people buying or not buying -- you know, who is the buyer -- and I think that is the context historically against, speaking as a historian, for really legitimate concerns and discussions about the use of data.

Thank you. That historical context is very 10 0 11 helpful. My next question for you regards land 12 titles in British Columbia that historically had 13 restrictive covenants. So as a historian could 14 you speak a bit to that. Is it the case that 15 historically some land titles prohibited 16 occupation or purchase by people who were Asian? Yes. And so those -- I mean, the term is an 17 А 18 interesting term itself, you know, a covenant. 19 Some idea that you are -- you know, again 20 alluding to the original covenant. That it is 21 in some sense a moral clause, so to speak, that 22 it is legally enforceable because, you know, 23 this is legal land title and if there's 24 something on there that says you can't sell to, 25 you know -- and often they would say -- they

would list both racial categories as well as
often religious categories. So, you know,
Jewish or Catholic in terms of religion. You
know, native, black, Chinese or Asiatic. So
these were on legal titles.

There are other forms where also there was 6 an understanding that may not have been written 7 8 out and put into legal title that this area was 9 for whites only. And so it varies. So some places are very explicit. You know, you can see 10 11 on legal titles in the British properties, for 12 instance, in certain places in Victoria, certain 13 neighbourhoods, in Vancouver in terms of Metro 14 Vancouver itself there are certain 15 neighbourhoods that have covenants. Others 16 don't. Shaughnessy, for instance -- you know, 17 I've mentioned Shaughnessy before as a place 18 where the Ku Klux Klan -- Shaughnessy is a place 19 where actually the covenants aren't explicit 20 about race, but there is a fairly de facto or in 21 practice idea that you don't sell to Chinese.

And I think one of the things that -- you know, it was mentioned, you know, again, when did this become moot legally. Well, when legislation was passed that said you could not discriminate based on race in terms of housing,
in terms of rentals, in terms of sales, and
that's the 1960s. So I'd say that, you know,
there are also -- there are ways -- you know,
these things pop up.

So again it's interesting that in media you 6 7 see it. I think just recently last year in 8 West Vancouver that one of the council -- West Vancouver council members raised this and said, 9 10 geez, this is in my land title; really? And 11 should we get rid of these. And so I think it's 12 a way of understanding the broader point that 13 perhaps was raised by some of the discussions in 14 my answer to questions which is there are a lot 15 of legacies of the history I'm talking about.

16 And so when someone says well, that's all 17 historical; why are we listening to that guy; he 18 is just talking about the past. It's like the 19 past is not over. We live in history. History 20 shapes us. History shapes who we are. Most 21 individually, within our family, as a society. 22 I don't want to make too much of being historian 23 as if I can -- should talk about anything and 24 everything, but there is a way in which I think 25 we forget that there are legacies of the past

I'm talking about that are as obvious as a housing covenant in a legal tight in a home that you may be purchasing or selling that is an indication of that long history even when it does not have legal effect.

6 Even when you cannot actually take someone to court for selling to an Asiatic or to someone 7 8 who is Jewish and say well, actually that's --9 it's in my housing covenant. You know, a 10 neighbour can't take another neighbour to court 11 for selling their property to someone who is 12 Jewish. Those days are over where it's legally 13 empowered by the state and by the power of the 14 government, but it doesn't mean that it's not 15 there as a legacy.

And in particular going back to an answer to a question posed by Mr. Martland that in particular -- in what we think of as normal okay behaviour versus what is visibly something that we don't like and is inappropriate. Those legacies are very strong.

And so again that's the underpinning, you could say, of a lot of reaction to Hong Kong Chinese coming in. It's not -- Hong Kong Chinese migrants started to come in the 1970s.

1 And so when Mr. Usher talked about gee, was 2 there already an awareness of the origins of 3 people who were different in the 1970s than, 4 say, in the 1960s in terms of migrants. Yes, 5 there was. But on the whole they weren't moving into neighbourhoods that had long histories of 6 being segregated. And the 90s was a particular 7 moment different from the 1970s precisely 8 9 because too many, it seemed, Hong Kong Chinese 10 were going into neighbourhoods had they didn't 11 belong. So Kerrisdale; they didn't belong here. 12 A heavy reaction in Kerrisdale to Chinese Hong 13 Kong Chinese moving in.

14 And the question of when does a place become 15 too Asian. When does it -- when are there too 16 many. That in some sense is tied very much to 17 your question of housing covenants and these 18 clauses. For some places one is too many. For 19 some places the norm right from the first moment 20 that it is subdivided and developed, the norm 21 will be none.

22 Q Thank you. I have just one last question for 23 you, Professor. You gave evidence earlier about 24 the sort of disproportionate visibility or focus 25 on Chinese people in public discourse about

1 dirty money in Vancouver and in the unaffordability of the housing market. And I 2 3 was wondering if you could speak at all to the 4 real world impacts of that focus. What effect 5 do you think that had? The effect it has -- that's a big question. 6 А So 7 in the -- to keep it sort of scoped I'll say --I'll give you a couple examples that I think are 8 more kind of an example of how we should 9 understand causality. So if you're asking me 10 11 gee, you know, this awareness of Chinese as 12 being a problem, does it directly lead to 13 someone punching a 90-year-old just because they 14 look like me; is there a direct causal linkage? 15 Could we prove that there's a direct causal 16 linkage? I would say no, I can't. Especially if it's -- you know, if it's an assault case and 17 18 someone is asking me is this directly related. 19 You know, do having all these laws -- or sorry, 20 now laws. Do having all these newspaper stories 21 and all these spectacular focus on Chinese, does 22 it lead someone to out of the blue to punch an 23 elderly man just because he thinks that man is 24 Chinese? No, obviously not.

25 But I would say that one of the consequences

and legacies of these spectacular news stories, this sort of creation through discourses about dirty money laundering, things like that is that we begin to see a set of people as a problem. And that is fungible, to use that very particular term, it's fungible in that you can transfer that to other realms and fields.

8 And so is it so strange when a pandemic hits 9 to think it arose in China. Same with the dirty money. Same with the -- it's China that is the 10 11 problem. Chinese are to blame for what's going 12 Is that unreasonable to think that there is on. 13 a chain of causality to the idea that Chinese 14 are a problem in general. And that yes, they're 15 a problem for housing affordability. Actually 16 causally they probably aren't, but we think they 17 are and we believe they are and we read a lot of 18 newspaper stories and there's a lot of public 19 discourse, including on social media, including 20 around coffee, you know, table discussions and 21 things like that that when there's another 22 problem that we would blame Chinese. There, 23 from a historian's point of view, not at all. 24 We do a lot of analyses.

25 And I'll give you a couple of examples from

1 the US just so it doesn't look like we're 2 beating up on ourselves as Canadian. In the United States the use of racialized humour is 3 4 crucial for understanding the rise of what we 5 call Jim Crow segregation in the American south. So when you think of the things that the civil 6 rights movement in the 1950s was trying to 7 8 overcome; right? African Americans were 9 actually legally supposed to be able to vote 10 since 1865 as a result of the civil war. And 11 yet why in the 1950s was -- most of the American 12 South African Americans were not able to vote. 13 There was all kind of ways in which they were 14 blocked from voting. They also -- there was 15 segregated facilities. They could not eat at 16 the same places. Could not swim in the same 17 places. All this kind of stuff.

18 When you think about that and how that 19 view was built, humour -- and this goes back to 20 mocking and satire -- it's crucial. Because if 21 you look at the ways in which racialized humour, 22 you know, making fun of black people, you know, 23 making normal the idea that black people don't 24 deserve to vote, that they are stupid, 25 laughable, they are bestial, they're primitive,

they're -- all these things are actually popularized in movies, in popular culture, in songs, in jokes. And that pervasive sense of normalcy created by popular conceptions of a set of people, those have powerful political effects.

It allows people to then pass laws, to pass 6 7 discriminatory legislation to think of as what 8 is normal and to therefore act upon it in terms of policy. And that from a historian's point of 9 10 view, from a scholarly point of view is 11 something that I can speak to which is when you 12 start to see the normalized dehumanization of a 13 set of people that they are not the same as us, 14 that they in fact deserve to be treated 15 differently because they are different and 16 somehow not quite as deserving of the same 17 approach, the same respect, the same legal 18 protections, then you're on a slippery slope.

19And I think that is where I would say yeah,20there is a link -- links of causality to passing21laws that sometimes now are dog whistles.22Like-- and again I discuss very specifically the23idea of who's foreign that you raised in your24question. When we think of who is foreign, even25the term "immigrant" -- when we say "immigrant"

15

1 or "refugee," are we more prone to imagine that 2 person as non-white. Even the opposition between "settler," "pioneer" versus "immigrant." 3 4 Which term raises the awareness of lateness, 5 someone coming later, of someone who came afterwards and is therefore not as deserving. 6 We don't mind if someone who is non-white is 7 8 delivering pizzas because they are earning their way up. They need to -- but if they're rich and 9 10 they go right into the British properties, why 11 do we feel that that's inappropriate. And so 12 that's where I think the term "foreign," the 13 term "immigrant" there's a history to how we 14 normalized who we think of as an immigrant, who

we don't think of as an immigrant.

Even if we use the term "British 16 17 immigrant." -- and I'll use the very specific 18 area of language, the term "accent." If someone 19 has Glaswegian brogue -- you know, one of my 20 favourite actors was Sean Connery. I have to 21 admit I couldn't understand half of what Sean 22 Connery was saying, but I still loved watching 23 Sean Connery movies, I love James Bond and all 24 this kind of stuff. But come on, that's a 25 really difficult accent.

1 Is it an inappropriate accent in Canada? 2 Not at all. You could show up on a plane from 3 Glasgow airport and get off in Toronto, you 4 could take a taxi in Kingston and you can talk 5 like that and you belong. The moment you step off the plane you belong here. But you could 6 have a hint of a Chinese accent and the sense of 7 8 your belonging is shaped by how well you seemingly speak English. And it's not actually 9 10 that you're understandable. As I said, I 11 couldn't really understand half of what Sean 12 Connery was saying, but it's a beautiful accent. 13 I love the way he speaks. It's -- you know.

14 And so even the esthetics of language of how 15 we think of -- we don't say -- if Sean Connery 16 moved to Canada we wouldn't say he's a Scottish 17 immigrant or a refugee, if he is trying to flee 18 taxation in UK and, you know, the taxation 19 rates. Oh my god, he's an economic refugee; he 20 wants to pay the lower rate, strange enough in 21 Canada, than they are in the United Kingdom. We 22 don't think of it that way. We think of it as 23 belonging in a natural way.

And so I think the long answer, I'd say -perhaps you weren't asking this so my apologies.

1 But I think the way to frame this sense of the 2 norm, who is normally foreign and who is 3 normally Canadian -- so even there these are 4 legal categories that have undergone change. So 5 from a historical points of view, 1947, the moments that we created a universal citizenship 6 regardless of who you were that if you were born 7 here, birthright citizenship, for instance --8 and just -- and I'll stop at this point. 9 10 Birthright citizenship is a relatively recent legal invention. It's invented in world 11 12 history basically in the United States as the 13 idea that if you were born in this territory, 14 you are a citizen of the United States. That is 15 really strange, you know, this idea that birth 16 right citizenship by soil, not by blood but the 17 soil you were born on confers citizenship. We 18 did not use that sense in Canada, not until 19 1947. Now, we did say that some people born 20 here were Canadian, but not all people born here 21 were Canadian citizens. It's only in 1947 that 22 we extended it as a universal concept of 23 citizenship -- birthright citizenship. So in 24 some sense that's in some people's lifetimes. 25 Here are people alive now that were alive to

1 witness that change.

2 And so the fact that it also was the moment 3 we created non-racialized non-white supremist 4 citizenship is also not a coincidence or an 5 accident that we somewhat begrudgingly, I would say, said that yeah, we're going to create 6 universal citizenship for anyone born here no 7 8 matter their colour of their skin, no matter whether they look like me or you or someone 9 10 else. The fact that that's such a recent 11 innovation, one year before South Africa put 12 together apartheid, like, that is not an 13 accident either. That our ability to be against 14 apartheid after 1948 is because in 1947 we began 15 to dismantle the very architecture of our legal 16 structure just as South Africa was adopting it. MS. MAGONET: Thank you so much, Professor Yu. 17 18 Mr. Commissioner, those are my questions. 19 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Magonet. 20 Now, Mr. Rauch-Davis for Transparency 21 International Coalition, who has been allocated 22 ten minutes. 23 MR. RAUCH-DAVIS: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Given 24 this witness's evidence this morning, I have no 25 further questions for Professor Yu.

1 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you, 2 Mr. Rauch-Davis. 3 Anything arising Mr. Usher? 4 MR. USHER: No, Mr. Commissioner. 5 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Mr. Martland? MR. MARTLAND: No. Thank you. 6 7 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Well, thank you, 8 Professor Yu. I think there's an aphorism that's often attributed to Mark Twain that the 9 10 past doesn't repeat itself but it often rhymes, 11 and I think your evidence has helped to 12 demonstrate how the past can shape our 13 perceptions of the present and has been very 14 helpful in reminding us that some of the evidence that we have heard in the course of our 15 16 hearings may play into racial or ethnic 17 stereotypes instead of simply allowing us to 18 make a careful analysis of complex issues, which 19 we need to understand in order to address them 20 properly and effectively. And I think for that 21 I am grateful to you for your evidence. You're 22 excused now from further testimony. And, Mr. Martland, I think we will adjourn 23 24 until Monday morning at 9:30.

25 MR. MARTLAND: Thank you.

1	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is now adjourned until
2	February 22nd, 2021, at 9:30 a.m. Thank you.
3	(WITNESS EXCUSED)
4	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:28 P.M. TO FEBRUARY 22,
5	2021)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	