

**From:** Daryl Tottenham  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 04, 2014 2:12 PM  
**To:** AML  
**Subject:** CFSEU/High Risk list review- for discussion

I have reviewed all the patrons on the CFSEU/Itrak crossover list currently held and here is my preliminary findings:

- There are a total of 109 people on the list held in the AML Risk folder to be reviewed and assessed.
- There are another 10 people who are in Itrak that have significant organized crime history and are either not on the CFSEU list or on the list and not picked up by the scan. That means we have 119 people to deal with overall for STR and/or barring purposes.
- Of those 119 people, 33 are already under long-term BCLC barrings or are currently VSE patrons.
- There are 12 people on the list which, in my humble opinion, we require no further investigation on and they should be BCLC barred for 5 yrs and an STR filed for public safety reasons.
- There are 35 people who are on the CFSEU list and have various histories or reasons that they could be STR/BCLC barring candidates but we should seek CFSEU confirmation on their level of risk overall, including any Duty to warn notices and the extent of their gang activities.
- There are 39 people who are on the CFSEU list but have very little or no history at BCLC and from my perspective pose minimal risk to us and are likely minor players on the CFSEU front.

One of the questions we will have to consider in this endeavor is what we plan on doing with [Redacted - RCMP] [Redacted - RCMP] that are on the CFSEU system and also in our system. If we are using a yardstick measured by CFSEU and their [Redacted - RCMP] how are we going to apply that across the board here.

As an example, we have been talking about [Redacted - RCMP] [Redacted - RCMP] along with recent negative media reports and is [Redacted - RCMP] while currently having 121 LCT disbursements at BCLC and is clearly a high risk patron for BCLC. We have talked about 5 yr barring [Redacted] based on the CFSEU list and [Redacted - RCMP] so.....if we proceed with that do we also do the same for [Redacted - RCMP]

**Redacted - RCMP**

Following that do we also bar the other patrons currently in Itrak and the CFSEU list that are [Redacted - RCMP] of [Redacted - RCMP] but have limited history with BCLC and our only reason for barring them is [Redacted - RCMP] Bottom line is we need to discuss what line we are taking with people identified in [Redacted - RCMP] and apply whatever rules we decide to invoke across the board.

We should discuss this when John gets back and develop a game plan but bearing in mind we have a deadline to meet, I am going to be starting a template STR and a template BCLC 5 yr barring piece so that when we do decide how we are going to do this, it will be an easy process to get through.

For the CFSEU side of this, what I hoping will work is to speak to one of our contacts there and supply them with a list of names and dob's and an idea of what we are looking for in terms of assessing the names on the list so they can review on CPIC and PRIME and advise us "yes or no" if they would pose a significant risk being in BCLC sites. There is no sense in asking for a full report for each as we likely won't get that much detail from them and would be very time-consuming if we did. Once we get a response from them we can assess the numbers and decide what action we want to take.

Maybe kick some of these topics around and we can meet shortly and discuss further when everyone is back.  
Daryl